

Draft Preferred Options Consultation Appendices

August 2015

Contact us

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan Team Planning Services, North Yorkshire County Council, County Hall, Northallerton, North Yorkshire, DL7 8AH

Tel: **01609 780780** Email: **mwjointplan@northyorks.gov.uk**

Draft

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

Appendix 1

Preferred and Discounted
Sites

Draft in Confidence

DRAFT

1 Introduction

- 1.1 As part of initial work on preparation of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan, interested parties were invited to indicate land they would wish to see made available for minerals and waste development over the period up to 2030. The purpose of these 'calls for sites' was to help ensure that enough suitable locations for future minerals and waste development can be identified in order to meet the objectives of the Plan.
- 1.2 Specific sites are those which can be identified with a relatively high degree of precision at this stage and where the grant of planning permission may reasonably be expected subject to submission of an acceptable detailed planning application. In a small number of instances Preferred Areas have been identified. These are broader areas within which it is considered that there is likely to be potential to develop a suitable site, for example in order to meet longer term requirements for a particular mineral, although more detailed environmental and other investigations are likely to be needed before any part of the area could be confirmed as being suitable for development. They therefore provide an indication to developers of where development may be supported subject to necessary further testing of suitability.
- 1.3 A large number of sites have been submitted by industry or landowners for consideration during preparation of the Plan up to Preferred Options stage. Most of the initial submissions were presented for consultation in an Issues and Options consultation document in February 2014. Further sites were submitted in response to that Issues and Options consultation and these were provided for comment in a Supplementary Sites consultation document in January 2015. Sites have been assessed in line with a Site Identification and Assessment Methodology produced to support the Plan, which is available to view at: <http://www.northyorks.gov.uk/article/26220/Site-and-area-assessment>. A small number of additional sites were submitted following on from the Supplementary Sites consultation and these have been subject of initial review, although they have not yet been subject to any prior public consultation.
- 1.4 Assessment has included an initial sustainability appraisal and a range of other assessments. Details of the Site Sustainability Appraisal Framework forms for each site can be viewed via the link above. A view has been taken with regard to which sites are currently considered suitable to take forward as preferred sites and which should be discounted. Consideration has also been given to what key aspects (such as environmental impacts) may need mitigation if the site is developed for the proposed use. Where mitigation is required any future planning application would need to be accompanied by suitable information to inform this mitigation (e.g. hydrological survey, historic environment survey, traffic assessment, etc.).
- 1.5 The views expressed in this consultation on the suitability of the various sites should be regarded as provisional at this stage. In some cases further assessment or clarification will be required before a final view can be taken on which sites are suitable for inclusion in the Plan. Consideration will also need to be given to responses received at this Preferred Options stage of preparing the Plan.

- 1.6 It is intended that a further consultation will take place prior to finalisation of the draft Plan, at which point it will be submitted for an independent Examination in Public.
- 1.7 The remainder of this Appendix provides information about which sites are currently considered suitable for inclusion in the Plan, as well as those which it is currently considered should be discounted. The sites are also available to view on an interactive map at <http://www.northyorks.gov.uk/article/26220/Site-and-area-assessment>. The information presented in this Appendix about the various sites considered represents the most up to date information currently available and where relevant supersedes any information provided in earlier consultation documents.
- 1.8 In a small number of cases sites submitted for consideration have been subject of planning applications and have received permission during preparation of the Plan. These sites have not been considered for allocation but in a number of cases are proposed for safeguarding in the Plan to reflect their permitted status.
- 1.9 A summary list is available in the table below which specifies which sites have been preferred or discounted; the table is broken down by District.

Question



With reference to the sites proposed to be allocated or discounted, contained in Appendix 1, please tell us if you have any views in relation to:

- 14) The suitability or otherwise of a site for allocation (with reasons)
- 15) Whether we have identified the right key issues relevant to the site
- 16) Whether we have identified the right key mitigation requirements for the site

Note: when providing a response relating to a specific site please ensure the site reference number is included with the relevant comments.

| Ref | Site Name | Preferred or Discounted | Type of site | Page No. |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|----------|
| CRAVEN DISTRICT | | | | |
| WJP13 | Halton East, near Skipton | Preferred | Retention of waste transfer station with higher vehicle numbers and hours of operation | 10 |
| WJP17 | Skibeden, near Skipton | Preferred | Retention of Household Waste Recycling Centre for waste transfer of household and some commercial waste | 13 |
| HAMBLETON DISTRICT | | | | |
| MJP06 | Langwith Hall Farm, east of Well | Preferred | Extraction of sand and gravel | 16 |
| MJP07 | Oaklands, near Well | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 19 |
| MJP33 | Home Farm, Kirkby Fleetham | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 22 |
| MJP43 | Land to west of Scruton | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 26 |
| MJP38 | Mill Cottages, West Tanfield | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 30 |
| MJP60 | Land to West of Kirkby Fleetham | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 33 |
| MJP61 | Land to south of Alne Brickworks, Forest Lane, Alne | Preferred | Extraction of clay | 36 |
| HAMBLETON and HARROGATE DISTRICTS (SPLIT) | | | | |
| MJP14 | Ripon Quarry, North Stainley | Preferred | Extraction of sand and gravel | 39 |
| HAMBLETON and RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICTS (SPLIT) | | | | |
| MJP21 | Land at Killerby | Preferred | Extraction of sand and gravel | 42 |
| MJP17 | Land to South of Catterick | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 45 |
| HARROGATE BOROUGH | | | | |
| MJP04 | Aram Grange, Asenby | Preferred | Extraction of sand and gravel | 48 |
| MJP51 | Great Givendale, Ripon | Preferred | Extraction of sand and gravel | 51 |
| MJP35 | Ruddings Farm, Walshford | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 54 |
| MJP05 | Lawrence House Farm, Scotton | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 57 |
| MJP37 | Moor Lane Farm, Great Ouseburn | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 60 |
| MJP39 | Quarry House, West Tanfield | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 63 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|--|-----|
| MJP41 | Scalibar Farm, Knaresborough | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 66 |
| MJP11 | Gebdykes Quarry, near Masham | Preferred | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 69 |
| MJP10 | Potgate Quarry, North Stainley | Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 72 |
| MJP15 | Blubberhouses Quarry, west of Harrogate | Discounted | Extraction of silica sand | 75 |
| MJP32 | Barsneb Wood, Markington | Discounted | Extraction of sandstone | 78 |
| WJP08 | Allerton Park, near Knaresborough | Preferred | Retention of landfill and associated landfill gas utilisation plant and use of site for growth of energy/biomass crops beyond 2018. Proposed composting, transfer station and materials recycling facility, recycling (including of minerals for secondary aggregates) | 81 |
| WJP23 | Potgate (former piggery), North Stainley | Preferred | Recycling of inert construction and demolition waste for secondary aggregates | 84 |
| RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT | | | | |
| MJP03 | Scarborough Field, adjacent to Forcett Quarry | Preferred | Extraction of Carboniferous limestone | 87 |
| MJP62 | Land at Toft Hill, near Kiplin | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 90 |
| MJP46 | Kiplin plant processing site, Kiplin | Discounted | Retention of sand and gravel processing plant site | 93 |
| WJP01 | Hillcrest, Harmby | Preferred | Waste Transfer Station (including recycling) | 96 |
| WJP18 | Tancred, near Scorton | Preferred | Retention of landfill, recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer), open windrow composting | 99 |
| RYEDALE DISTRICT | | | | |
| MJP08 | Settrington Quarry | Preferred | Extraction of Jurassic limestone | 102 |
| MJP12 | Whitewall Quarry, near Norton | Preferred | Extraction of Jurassic limestone | 105 |
| MJP64 | Cropton Quarry, Cropton | Discounted | Extraction of Jurassic limestone | 108 |
| MJP30 | West Heselton Quarry | Preferred | Extraction of sand | 111 |
| MJP50 | Sands Wood, land to east of Sandy Lane, Wintringham | Discounted | Extraction of sand | 114 |
| MJP63 | Brows Quarry, Malton | Preferred | Extraction of Building Stone | 117 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|-----|
| MJP13 | Whitewall Quarry near Norton (recycling) | Preferred | Enlarged area for recycling of inert waste | 120 |
| WJP09 | Whitewall Quarry Materials Recycling Facility, near Norton | Discounted | Materials recycling facility | 123 |
| SCARBOROUGH BOROUGH | | | | |
| MJP49 | Metes Lane, Seamer | Discounted | Extraction of sand and gravel | 126 |
| WJP15 | Seamer Carr, Eastfield, Scarborough | Preferred | Retention of existing recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer), open windrow composting, and energy from waste (biomass) facilities beyond end of current planning permissions which are limited to 2020 and new inert waste screening facility | 129 |
| SELBY DISTRICT | | | | |
| MJP45 | Land to north of Hemingbrough | Preferred | Extraction of clay | 132 |
| MJP55 | Land adjacent to former Escrick brickworks | Preferred | Extraction of clay | 135 |
| MJP28 | Barnsdale Bar Quarry, Kirk Smeaton | Preferred | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 138 |
| MJP29 | Went Edge Quarry, Kirk Smeaton | Preferred | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 141 |
| MJP23 | Jackdaw Crag, Stutton | Part Preferred/ Part Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 144 |
| MJP31 | Old London Road, Stutton | Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 148 |
| MJP53 | Land to north of Old London Road Quarry, Stutton | Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone | 151 |
| MJP58 | Old London Road, Stutton | Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone, secondary aggregate recycling, storage of mineral fines and partial infilling with imported mineral fines material | 154 |
| WJP04 | Old London Road Quarry, Stutton | Discounted | Extraction of Magnesian limestone; Temporary storage of mineral fines; and Recycling of construction industry waste and landfill | 157 |
| MJP22 | Hensall Quarry | Preferred | Extraction of sand | 160 |
| MJP44 | Land between Plasmor Block making plant, Great Heck and Pollington Airfield | Preferred | Extraction of sand | 163 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------|---|-----|
| MJP54 | Mill Balk Quarry, Great Heck | Preferred | Extraction of sand | 166 |
| MJP09 | Barlby Road, Selby | Preferred | Rail and road freight distribution facility including handling facility for aggregates | 169 |
| MJP24 | Darrington Quarry processing plant site and haul road | Preferred | Retention of plant site and haul road for processing of Magnesian limestone | 172 |
| MJP27 | Darrington Quarry (recycling) | Preferred | Recycling of inert waste | 175 |
| MJP26 | Barnsdale Bar, near Kirk Smeaton (recycling) | Preferred | Recycling of inert waste | 178 |
| WJP10 | Went Edge Quarry recycling, near Kirk Smeaton | Preferred | Recycling of construction and demolition waste for secondary aggregate | 181 |
| WJP16 | Common Lane, Burn | Preferred | Bulking and transfer of municipal and commercial waste | 184 |
| WJP06 | Land adjacent to former Escrick brickworks, Escrick | Preferred | Landfill of inert waste for restoration of extraction site | 187 |
| WJP21 | Brotherton Quarry, Burton Salmon | Preferred | Import of inert waste for restoration purposes | 190 |
| WJP22 | Land on former Pollington airfield | Preferred | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Import of wood for wood pellet production • Modification to biomass plant permission (reduction to throughput and output) • Additional infrastructure associated with wood processing | 193 |
| NORTH YORK MOORS NATIONAL PARK | | | | |
| MJP34 | Land between Sandsend and Scarborough | Discounted | Extraction of potash and polyhalite | 196 |
| MJP59 | Spikers Quarry, East Ayton | Discounted | Extraction of Jurassic limestone | 199 |
| WJP19 | Fairfield Road, Whitby | Preferred | Recycling and transfer of municipal and commercial waste | 202 |
| CITY OF YORK | | | | |
| MJP52 | Field SE5356 9513, to north of Duttons Farm, Upper Poppleton | Preferred | Extraction of clay | 205 |
| WJP05 | Field to north of Duttons Farm, Upper Poppleton | Preferred | Landfill and recycling of waste from construction industry | 208 |

| | | | | |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------|---|-----|
| WJP11 | Harewood Whin, Rufforth | Preferred | Retention of the following facilities beyond 2017 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • landfill, • open windrow composting, • recycling (including treatment bulking and transfer) and liquid waste treatment • Energy from Waste (Biomass and Landfill Gas Utilization) • kerbside recycling and waste transfer operation and Construction of new materials recycling facility and waste transfer station | 211 |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------|---|-----|

Note: Two further sites (WJP03 Southmoor Energy Centre, Kellingley Colliery and WJP02 North Selby Mine Anaerobic Digestion) were submitted for consideration for allocation. These sites have not been considered in the site assessment process as the developments have received planning permission. The sites have not been withdrawn from the site assessment process by the submitter. However, to reflect their permitted status they have been identified on the Policies Map as committed sites. The sites are also safeguarded in the Plan.




Figure 1: Location of Mineral Submissions as at June 2015





Figure 2: Location of Waste Submissions as at June 2015

HALTON EAST, NEAR SKIPTON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference | WJP13 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Retention of waste transfer station for household and some commercial waste with higher vehicle numbers and hours of operation | |
| Location of Land | Halton East Waste Transfer Station Halton East Works Low Lane Halton East BD23 6AD |
| (Grid Reference) | (403069 453772) |
| District | Craven |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Waste transfer station |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 40,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 40,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.85 |
| Estimated date of commencement | From 2019 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20 years plus |
| Proposed Access | Existing entrance at the Four Lane Ends junction of Low Lane (C399 road from Embsay) with the U2313 (unclassified road to Halton East village) thence via Low Lane south to the A59 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 4 (application details NY/2013/0230/73A) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 36 (application details NY/2013/0230/73A) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | None proposed as existing permission is for a permanent site |
| Other information (if applicable) | Planning permission C5/34/2013/14104 currently limits the higher vehicle numbers and hours of operation until February 2019 after which it would default back to the terms of Planning Permission C5/34/2011/12077 |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Conservation Areas • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: proximity to National Park and local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: Conservation Areas and National Park and local landscape features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02) and it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



SKIBEDEN, NEAR SKIPTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference WJP17 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Retention of Household Waste Recycling Centre for waste transfer of household and some commercial waste | |
| Location of Land | Skibeden Landfill and HWRC Harrogate Road Skipton North Yorkshire BD23 6AB |
| (Grid Reference) | (401929 452970) |
| District | Craven |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Household Waste Recycling Centre for waste transfer of household and some commercial waste |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 5,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 5,000 (estimate based on imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.39 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Existing access at Skibeden HWRC onto A59 (approximately 330m east of junction between A59 and A65) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 209 (source NYCC Waste Management) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 1 – 2 (estimate) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | None specified |
| Other information (if applicable) | Landfill site is closed and undergoing restoration |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: potential for invasive species, potential habitats • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: setting of National Park, effects on users of local roads • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, odour, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on setting of National Park and local roads including through retention of existing planting • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02) and it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This report is derived from a 2014 aerial survey map with the permission of Historic Scotland and the Commission for the Metros of the City of Skipton. © Crown copyright. All other material is the property of Historic Scotland and is reproduced with the permission of Historic Scotland. 10001344 (2014)

LANGWITH HALL FARM, EAST OF WELL

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP06 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel as a proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Land to south of Langwith House Long Lane Well Bedale DL8 2PD |
| (Grid Reference) | (428876 481246) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Tarmac Ltd – now known as Lafarge Tarmac |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,300,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 500,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 43.1 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 4-5 years |
| Proposed Access | No direct access to public highway proposed from MJP06 site, rather material would be taken direct to the existing processing Nosterfield Quarry plant site by an internal route and would then use the existing Nosterfield Quarry access on to B6267 (approximately 500m east of Nosterfield village) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 34 two-way movements (application details NY/2011/0242/ENV) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 200 two-way movements (application details NY/2011/0242/ENV) |


| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Lake, nature conservation, agriculture and forestry (application details NY/2011/0242/ENV) |
| Other information (if applicable) | Proposal includes diversion of the Ings Goit stream. Planning application (NY/2011/0242/ENV) is awaiting determination for a similar, but not identical area. An application (NY/2014/0271/ENV) for the continuation of extraction from the existing site and the retention of the plant site is also awaiting determination |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, stream and protected species; potential habitats; presence of invasive species; cumulative impact • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, other archaeological remains, Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: impact on villages, impact of relocating stream and cumulative impact • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (zones 1, 2 and 3) and surface water drainage (including impact on stream) • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Conservation areas) and their settings and the impact on villages and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage (including appropriate mitigation for the impact of relocating the stream) • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



OAKLANDS, NEAR WELL

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP07 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Oaklands Long Lane Well Bedale DL8 2PE |
| (Grid Reference) | (427688 481421) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Tarmac Ltd – now known as Lafarge Tarmac |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 3,602,720 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 500,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 44.6 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Approximately 2020-21 (to follow MJP06) |
| Proposed Life of Site | 6 years |
| Proposed Access | No direct access to public highway from MJP07 site, rather material would be taken to the existing processing plant site in Nosterfield Quarry by an internal route and would then leave using the existing Nosterfield Quarry access onto B6267 (approximately 500m east of Nosterfield village) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 34 two-way movements (similar to MJP06) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 200 two-way movements (similar to MJP06) |


| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but restoration would be in keeping with existing Nosterfield quarry and with the Langwith (MJP06) site, so likely to be a lake, nature conservation, agriculture and forestry |
| Other information (if applicable) | Proposal includes diversion of the Ings Goit stream and extraction would be by suction dredger with material to be pumped by pipeline to the existing conveyor system for transport to the existing processing plant |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, stream and protected species; potential habitats; presence of invasive species; cumulative impact • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, other archaeological remains, Conservation Areas and Listed Building • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: impact on villages, impact of relocating stream and cumulative impact • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (zones 1, 2 and 3) and surface water drainage (including appropriate mitigation for the impact of relocating the stream) • Impacts on public rights of way • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Conservation areas) and their settings and the impact on villages and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that development should be limited to the eastern part of the area originally submitted to help reduce impacts on the landscape and setting of Well. It is acknowledged that this would have an impact on the quantity of mineral and an estimate of 1,500,000 tonnes is currently assumed for the eastern part of the site.</p> <p>Therefore part of the site is a Preferred Site and part is Discounted.</p> | |



Site Reference: **MJP07**

Site Name: **Oaklands, near Well**

Site Area:  Exclusion Area: 


 Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
 County Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire, DL7 9AT

Site Submission Date: 05-2015
 Completed & Analysis: MWSP

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017848, 2015

HOME FARM, KIRKBY FLEETHAM

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP33 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Home Farm Kirkby Lane Kirkby Fleetham DL7 0SU |
| (Grid Reference) | (428103 495992) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Aggregate Industries |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 5,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 300,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 190 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Anticipated to be about 2019 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 17 years |
| Proposed Access | Access onto public highway from the land to the south of the River Swale to be via a new bridge over the river into the part of the MJP33 site area to the north of the river and then onto the B6271. HGVs would then route west on B6271 to strategic network at the new A1(M) 'mid-Catterick' junction, or via the new Local Access Road to the improved A1(M) junction at Scotch Corner. Access towards Northallerton is likely to be via the B6271 and the A1(M) to the A684 and thence to Northallerton, rather than direct via the B6271. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 21 |

| | |
|---|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 128 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Mix of restoration uses may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agricultural Land • Wetland areas – shallow lakes, ponds, marshland • Woodland - framework and structure planting • Recreation – fishing and permissive walkways • Hedgerows and copses |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINCs, trees, hedgerows, protected species, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats, presence of invasive species • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Buildings, Conservation Area, archaeological remains and undesignated designed landscapes • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: National Cycle network, local landscape features and cumulative impact of quarrying • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, potential for flood storage • Impacts on rights of way (actual and claimed) • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (Listed Buildings, Conservation Area, archaeological remains and undesignated designed landscapes), local landscape features, and their respective settings and rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for the creation of a coherent habitat network in conjunction with nearby sites and contribution to the parkland setting | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to meeting the requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that development should exclude an area to the east of Kirkby Fleetham Hall to protect the setting of the Hall. It is acknowledged that this would</p> | |


have an impact on the quantity of mineral available.

It is further considered that the potential to access the land via the MJP21 site at Killerby should be explored prior to confirming this site as a Preferred Site in order to address the potential amenity impact of quarry traffic on the B6271 and secure a more direct access to the strategic road network.

Additionally, the restoration proposals should, where practicable, be coordinated with the proposals for restoration of the adjacent Killerby site, if developed, in order to maximise benefits, particularly for biodiversity.

Therefore part of the site is a **Preferred Site** and part is **Discounted**.

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT - NOT FOR PUBLICATION



LAND TO WEST OF SCRUTON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP43 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Scruton (on land between the A1 north of Leases Hall, Roughley Corner and part of the line of the Wensleydale Railway, lying to the west of Carriage Road Plantation and Fox Covert Plantation and to the north of the line of the line of Bedale-Aiskew-Leeming Bar bypass) |
| (Grid Reference) | (428724 491623) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Hughes Craven Ltd (on behalf Messrs Stubbs, Dennison, Barker & Raine) |
| Landowner | Landowners support the submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 6,500,000 – 8,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 95.44 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 32 years maximum |
| Proposed Access | Exact location not known, but would be either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • onto the east-bound carriageway of the Bedale Aiskew Leeming Bar Bypass to the east of Leases Road (U1427 unclassified road), Leeming Bar or • onto the roundabout at the junction between the Bedale Aiskew Leeming Bar Bypass and Leases Road at Leeming Bar |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 – 18 |

| | |
|--|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 90 (average) 130 (maximum) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available yet, but likely to be agriculture with limited wetland areas. The wetland areas (west of Low Street, C114 road) would be designed & limited in extent so as not to attract wildfowl. If the land west of Low Street is worked as a stand-alone site then restoration of that area would be to agriculture only. |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, woodland, and cumulative impact in combination with other developments, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Impacts on pipeline • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains and Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: villages, local landscape features, users of local roads, rights of way, National Cycle Network and the Wensleydale Railway • Water issues, including: hydrology, source protection, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impact on rights of way and leisure routes • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include suitable arrangements for retention or diversion of pipeline (as appropriate) • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains and Listed Buildings), villages, local landscape features and their respective settings, users of local roads including the A1, National Cycle Network and the Wensleydale Railway • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme informed by 'estate influenced stetting' using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting the longer term requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>Although no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site</p> | |


assessment process, it is considered that there would be significant landscape impacts with the potential extraction of mineral from the land to the west of Low Street due to the impact on the ridgeline parallel with the A1.

The site is awkwardly configured to the east of Low Street. However, it is considered that part of the area does have some potential as a Preferred Site subject to satisfactory access being achieved via the Bedale-Aiskew-Leeming Bar Bypass.

It is acknowledged that excluding parts of this site would have an impact on the quantity of mineral available.

Therefore part of the site is a **Preferred Site** and parts are **Discounted**.

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT - NOT FOR PUBLICATION



Site Reference: **MJP43**

Site Name: **Land to west of Scruton**

Site Area:  Exclusion Area: 

 **N**
 Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
 County Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire (DL7 9HJ)


Site Submission Case: **SD-2011**
 Completed & Analyzed: **MWJ**

This map is a simplified representation of the land and the position of the River Ure and is for illustrative purposes only. It is not intended to be used as a legal document. For more information, please contact the Planning Department, County Council, North Yorkshire, Northallerton, North Yorkshire, DL7 9HJ. © 2011

MILL COTTAGES, WEST TANFIELD

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP38 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Mill Cottages West Tanfield Ripon HG4 5LL |
| (Grid Reference) | (427854 478706) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Carter Jonas (on behalf of Trustees of Marriage Settlement of M E Bourne Arton) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 500,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 100,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 10.88 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017-18 onwards |
| Proposed Life of Site | 5 years |
| Proposed Access | Exact location of access not finalised, but site abuts highway on south-west side (U1531 unclassified road) and on the north-west side (C87 road) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 20 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 20 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available yet but likely to be mainly to water |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, protected species, potential habitats, potential for invasive species • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings, archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: village, river and cumulative impact with other quarrying • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, potential for flood storage • Impact on rights of way and leisure routes • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, the site is only capable of making a small contribution to requirements and it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on the historic environment south-east of West Tanfield, as well as on local amenity. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



This plan is a reproduction of the original plan and is not to be used for any other purpose without the permission of the author. The author is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this plan. The author is not responsible for any damage or loss of any kind resulting from the use of this plan. The author is not responsible for any copyright infringement or any other legal action taken against the author or the publisher of this plan.

LAND TO THE WEST OF KIRKBY FLEETHAM

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP60 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Land to west of Kirkby Fleetham (between Lumley Lane and Low Street, Kirkby Fleetham and Todd Lane, Great Fencote) |
| (Grid Reference) | (427722 493990) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | CEMEX |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 5,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | Approximately 80 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Prior to 2020 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Access to be onto Lumley Lane (C40) and then likely to be north along Low Street (C114) to the junction with the new Local Access Road on the east side of the upgraded A1(M) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 18 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 121 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but north end likely to be a lake with nature conservation and south end likely to be agriculture |
| Other information (if applicable) | |

Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment


- Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, hedgerows, trees, cumulative effects, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats
- Impact on BMV agricultural land
- Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings, archaeological remains
- Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: villages, local landscape features, cumulative effects
- Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage
- Impacts on rights of way and National Cycle Network
- Traffic impact, including: access and local roads
- Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc.

Reasons for selecting/discounting site

This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.

However, it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts including on local amenity, best and most versatile agricultural land and the local landscape and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.

Therefore the site is a **Discounted Site**.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. It is a copyright material. Ordnance Survey does not accept any liability in respect of any inaccuracies or omissions in this publication. 100017948 2015

LAND TO THE SOUTH OF ALNE BRICKWORKS

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference | MJP61 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of clay as an extension to a former quarry to serve the existing adjacent brickworks | |
| Location of Land | Land to south of Alne Brickworks Forest Lane Alne YO61 1TU |
| (Grid Reference) | (452121 465967) |
| District | Hambleton |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | C Jarvis on behalf of York Handmade Brick Co. Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 700,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 30,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 8.7 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 23 years |
| Proposed Access | No access from MJP61 to public highway as would use internal haul road route from the site to the existing brickworks |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as none on public highway |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as no transport to the brickworks on public highway |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Lake and nature conservation (application details NY/2014/0204/FUL) |


| | |
|---|---|
| Other information (if applicable) | Site is subject of a current application (NY/2014/0204/FUL) which is awaiting determination |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage, • Impacts on rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, proximity to landfill, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains), local landscape features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design and maintenance of appropriate access to brickworks • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of brick clay over the Plan period (Policy M13), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



RIPON QUARRY, NORTH STAINLEY

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP14 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Ripon Quarry North Stainley HG4 3HT |
| (Grid Reference) | (430558 476313 Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) (429456 477821 Manor Farm West) |
| District | Harrogate (Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) Hambleton (Manor Farm West) |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Hanson UK |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 3,500,000 (Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) 800,000 (Manor Farm West) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 30.22 (Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) 6.2 (Manor Farm West) |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-16 (Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) 2018 (Manor Farm West) |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 years (Pennycroft and Thorneyfields) Up to 4 years (Manor Farm West) |
| Proposed Access | Existing Ripon Quarry access onto A6108 (approximately 460m south of North Stainley) with the mineral to be moved from both areas to the existing plant site on the south-west side of the River Ure without passage on the highway |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 16 (application details NY/2011/0429/ENV) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 80-150* (Source: application details NY/2011/0429/ENV including comment *if additional processing capacity installed) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Pennycroft and Thorneyfields: lake, reed bed and wet woodland Manor Farm West: to be compatible with restoration of existing site which is to lakes, agriculture, reed beds, wet grassland and woodland |
| Other information (if applicable) | Pennycroft and Thorneyfields is subject to an application (NY/2011/0429/ENV) which is awaiting determination. There is no current application for Manor Farm West. |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINCs, Nature Reserve and river corridor, woodland, protected species, presence of invasive species • Impacts on gas pipeline • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, Listed Buildings, Registered and unregistered park & gardens, area of known archaeological importance • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage and potential for flood storage • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: floodplain, cumulative impact, restoration design • Impacts on rights of way, leisure routes (Ripon Rowel) and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to include suitable arrangements for retention or diversion of gas pipeline (as appropriate) • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled monuments, Listed Buildings, Registered park and garden), local landscape features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage and mitigation of any hydrogeomorphic impacts on the river • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>The site is subject to significant constraints. However, it is considered that these could be capable of being mitigated to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution of the offender(s). 100013448, 2012

LAND AT KILLERBY

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP21 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Killerby Richmond DL10 7PY |
| (Grid Reference) | (426259 495822) |
| District | Hambleton and Richmondshire |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Wardell Armstrong (on behalf of Tarmac Ltd – now known as Lafarge Tarmac) |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 11,370,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 650,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 213, of which 122 is proposed for extraction |
| Estimated date of commencement | Anticipated to be 2020-21, as submitter is promoting MJP21 as a replacement for the existing Scorton and Ellerton quarry sites |
| Proposed Life of Site | Extraction would occur for an initial period of 2 years, after which the remaining permitted reserves at Ellerton Quarry would be extracted (5-6 years), then the remainder of the Killerby reserves would be extracted during a period of 14 years |
| Proposed Access | Access to be as in the latest details for application NY/2010/0356/ENV, that is at the bend at north end of Low Street (C114), with vehicles to go west along Low Street onto the new Local Access Road next to the upgraded A1(M) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 42 (application details NY/2010/0356/ENV) |


| | |
|---|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 336 (application details NY/2010/0356/ENV) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Agriculture, marshland, lakes and woodland (details submitted in connection with application NY/2010/0356/ENV include latest version of restoration scheme) |
| Other information (if applicable) | Application (NY/2010/0356/ENV) is currently awaiting determination |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, river, woodland, protected species, presence of invasive species, cumulative effects, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monuments, archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area Registered and unregistered park and gardens • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: cumulative effect of quarrying, effects of temporary bridges • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, some areas of 2 and 3), surface water drainage and potential for flood storage • Impacts on rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area, Registered and unregistered park and gardens), local landscape features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND TO SOUTH OF CATTERICK

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP17 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Land to south of Catterick (between Leases Lane; Rudd Hall Farm; Ghyll Hall; Hackforth Lodge; Lords Lane; Goskins Plantation; Sowber Hill Farm and the A1) |
| (Grid Reference) | (424718 495031) |
| District | Hambleton and Richmondshire |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | AMEC (on behalf of Lafarge – now known as Lafarge Tarmac) |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 4,200,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Unknown at present (submitter information) Estimate of 150,000 -250,000 (based on size of site) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 102.1 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Not known yet, but likely to be in later part of the Joint Plan period as submitter is promoting the site as a replacement for the existing Scorton quarry and the Killerby (MJP21) site once those reserves have been exhausted |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Not known yet, but will take account of the new mid-Catterick A1(M) roundabout in order to access the strategic road network |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Estimate of 10-18 two-way daily movements (based on estimate of annual output) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Estimate of 72-121 two-way daily movements (based on estimate of annual output) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but may include lake(s), fen, conservation grassland, agriculture and woodland |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monuments, archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Registered and unregistered park and gardens • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: village, cumulative effect of quarrying, users of the A1 • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small areas of 2 and 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access, A1(M) improvements • Impacts on rights of way • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Registered and unregistered park and gardens), village, landscape features and their respective settings and users of the A1 • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads taking account of the upgrades to the A1 • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting longer term requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that development should exclude the south-western part of the site originally submitted to help reduce impacts on the registered Park and Garden at Hornby Castle. It is acknowledged that this would have an impact on the quantity of mineral and an estimate of 3,200,000 tonnes is currently assumed for the remaining part of the site.</p> <p>Therefore part of the site is a Preferred Site and part is Discounted.</p> | |



Site Reference: MJ17

Site Name: Land to South of Catterick

Site Area:  Exclusion Area: 



Military and Police Joint Plan
 County Hall, Northampton
 Northampton, NN1 6YJ


Site Submission:  Date: 05/20/18
 Completion & Analysis:  MWJ

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Reproduced with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © 2018.

ARAM GRANGE, ASENBY

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP04 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land (Grid Reference) | Aram Grange Whaites Lane Asenby Thirsk YO7 3RD (440107 474142) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RH Blair and Son |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Unknown at present |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Estimate of 150,000 -250,000 (based on size of site) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | Unknown at present |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 117.1 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Not yet finalised, but two potential options on to Whaites Lane (C87): either <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • approximately 230m east of A168 west-bound slip-road; or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • approximately 470m south of property at Poplar Hill |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Estimate 14 (based on estimate of annual output) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Estimate 100 (based on estimate of annual output) |

| | |
|--|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but submitter wishes to return it to agriculture at original levels |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, stream, SINC, potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: impact on topography and size of site • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (zones 1 and 2) and surface water drainage (including impact on stream) • Traffic impact, including: access and local road • Impacts on public rights of way and other tracks • Impacts on gas pipeline • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include suitable arrangements for retention or diversion of gas pipeline (as appropriate) • Design of development to include phasing and landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings) and their settings, and on local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate restoration design including potential for habitat creation • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. on amenity | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting the longer term requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area towards the end of the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>The site is large and is also subject to significant constraints. However, it is considered that subject to appropriate siting design and mitigation there is likely to be potential to develop an appropriately scaled site within the overall area put forward.</p> <p>Therefore the site is identified as a Preferred Area within which an appropriately scaled site could be developed if required.</p> | |



Site Reference: **MJPD4**

Site Name: **Aram Grange, Asenby**

Site Area: 



Approved and Made Joint Plan
County Hall, York
South Yorkshire, OLT 10/11


The Submission Date: 29/03/11
Completion & Approval: 14/04/11

This document is issued for the purpose of providing information only. It is not intended to be used as a basis for any decision. It is the responsibility of the user to ensure that it is used in accordance with the relevant legislation and any other applicable requirements. (0113) 444 1111

GREAT GIVENDALE, RIPON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP51 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel as an extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Great Givendale Great Givendale Track Ripon HG4 5AD |
| (Grid Reference) | (433547 469251) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Newby Hall Estate |
| Landowner | Landowners support the submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 500,000 – 600,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 100,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 13.04 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2020 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 6 years |
| Proposed Access | Access would be via the bailey bridge at the Sailing Club, which is currently being used to transport the mineral from the existing permission on the east side of the River Ure, to the existing Ripon City Quarry plant site and material would then go via the existing quarry access onto the B6265. No access to the public highway on the east side of River Ure is proposed. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as no movements on the public highway |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as no movements on the public highway |

| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | North part of site to be restored to arable agriculture and south end to grazing |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: woodland, hedgerows, protected species, potential habitats, presence of invasive species • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, unscheduled moat, property and medieval village, canal, cumulative impact with existing quarrying • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: users of recreation facilities and rights of way in area • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains, unscheduled moat, property and medieval village and the canal), local landscape features and their respective settings and users of recreation facilities and rights of way in area • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Maintenance of access to Ripon City Quarry • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and recreation (including areas of reedbed or wet woodland) | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process, subject to the site being worked via the processing plant and access to the highway for Ripon City Quarry.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100011949, 2013

RUDDINGS FARM, WALSHFORD

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP35 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Ruddings Farm Wetherby Lane Kirk Deighton LS22 5HR |
| (Grid Reference) | (441458 452447) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Middlethorpe Estates Ltd (on behalf R Newby & Co) |
| Landowner | Landowner support is unknown at present |
| Current Use | Agriculture, woodland and lake |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,100,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 150,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 40.5 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Location unknown at present, but site abuts parts of Wetherby Lane (C273) and the A168. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 72 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Unknown at present |


| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SAC, river, & associated watercourses, protected species, potential habitats • Impact BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Park and Garden, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: landscape particularly east of A1(M), undesignated farm; users of A1(M), local roads and rights of way • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage including impact on on-site tributary of the river, potential for flood storage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (archaeological remains, Registered Park and Garden, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area, and local landscape features and their respective settings and A1(M), local roads, and rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting longer term requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area towards the end of the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that the part of the site to the east of the A1(M) is more sensitive particularly in landscape terms and should not be taken forward at this stage. It is acknowledged that this would have an impact on the quantity of mineral and an estimate of 1,000,000 tonnes is currently assumed for the remaining part of the site.</p> <p>Therefore part of the site is a Preferred Site and part is Discounted.</p> | |



LAWRENCE HOUSE FARM, SCOTTON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP05 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Lawrence House Farm Low Moor Lane Scotton Harrogate HG5 9HZ |
| (Grid Reference) | (432805 460179) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | S Jeffries on behalf of W H Barker Partnership |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,900,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 200,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 23.35 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Commence within 5 years |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 year life |
| Proposed Access | A new access onto High Moor Lane (U2792 unclassified road) approximately 610m north of B6165 junction and traffic would then, rather than using a route eastwards towards Knaresborough, travel towards A61, either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • northwards on High Moor Lane and Brearton Lane U2790 (unclassified road) or • south on High Moor Lane onto the B6165 and then westwards; |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (submitter information) |

| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 72 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but submitter wishes to return site to agriculture |
| Other information (if applicable) | Two previous applications have involved land within the MJP05 site area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MIN1450 was refused in 1987 and the subsequent appeal was withdrawn in 1989 • MIN1539 was refused in 1990 and the subsequent appeal was dismissed in 1991 |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, streams, pond, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled monument, Conservation Areas, other potential archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Registered park and garden • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: impact on villages • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly zone 1, small areas of 2 and 3) and surface water drainage (including impact on streams) • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Impacts on public rights of way and leisure trails • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impact on school, etc. • Restoration issues regarding eventual landform and whether will include water areas | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on water quality, best and most versatile land, landscape, local amenity and rights of way and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2013.

MOOR LANE FARM, GREAT OUSEBURN

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP37 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Moor Farm Moor Lane Great Ouseburn YO26 9TT |
| (Grid Reference) | (442771 460935) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Middlethorpe Estates Ltd (on behalf of Mr S Gill) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 150,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 99.0 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Location unknown at present, but site abuts Moor Lane (bridleway) and part of the B6265. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 72 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Unknown at present |

| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINCs, woodland (including ancient), hedgerows, protected species, potential habitats, potential for invasive species on restoration • Impacts on gas pipeline • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Park and Garden, Listed Buildings and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1), surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access and local roads • Impacts on rights of way including on proposed access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on areas of ancient woodland, best and most versatile agricultural land, rights of way and the setting of Allerton Park registered park and garden and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: **MJP37**

Site Name: **Moor Lane Farm, Great Ouseburn**

Site Area 



Wharfedale and Wolds Joint Fire
Control Unit, Featherbedden,
North Yorkshire, DL7 4RH


Site Submission Date: 05/2014
Completion & Analysis: MWZ

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright and database right. Ordnance Survey 2014. (OS/17/14/001)

QUARRY HOUSE, WEST TANFIELD

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP39 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Quarry House West Tanfield Ripon |
| (Grid Reference) | (427368 478625) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Carter Jonas (on behalf of Trustees of Marriage Settlement of M E Bourne Arton) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 300,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 100,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 13.5 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017-18 onwards |
| Proposed Life of Site | 3 years |
| Proposed Access | Exact location of access not finalised, but would be on western side of site onto the A6108 approximately mid-way along the western boundary of site in a position to best suit the sight lines coming out onto the A6108 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 20 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 20 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available yet, but likely to be mainly to water |


| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, river, protected species, potential habitats, potential for invasive species, cumulative impact with other quarrying • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings, archaeological remains, undesignated designed landscapes • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: village, river and cumulative impact with other quarrying • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, potential for flood storage • Impact on rights of way and leisure routes • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, the site is only capable of making a small contribution to requirements and it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on the historic environment to the south-east of West Tanfield, local amenity as well as on users of the Ripon Rowell long distance footpath. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



SCALIBAR FARM, KNARESBOROUGH

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP41 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Scalibar Farm Wetherby Road Plompton Knaresborough HG5 8LP |
| (Grid Reference) | (437548 454907) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Middlethorpe Estates Ltd (on behalf of W Cornforth & Sons) |
| Landowner | Landowner support is unknown at present |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Unknown at present, but estimate of 150,000-200,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 29.4 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Location unknown at present, but site abuts the B6164 Wetherby Road |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 – 18 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 72 – 121 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Unknown at present |


| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, woodland, protected species and potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Impact on powerline • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, Listed Buildings, Conservation Area and undesignated designed landscape • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features, users of B6164, rights of way and leisure trails • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, potential for flood storage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on best and most versatile land and the landscape and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



GEBDYKES QUARRY, NEAR MASHAM

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP11 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Gebdykes Quarry Masham Ripon HG4 3BT |
| (Grid Reference) | (423503 482933) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Lightwater Quarries Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 100,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 25.8 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2025-2030 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Proposed lifespan unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Existing Gebdykes Quarry access onto the B6268 approximately 250m south of the Five Lane Ends junction. The means of, and location of, the crossing from MJP11 into the existing Gebdykes quarry to be confirmed; but may be a conveyor beneath the C133 lane (between Five Lane Ends and High Burton) at a point to the east of Gebdykes Farm |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 7 (estimated) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 48 (estimated) |


| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Low level mixed agriculture, nature conservation and woodland restoration with slopes around perimeter of site |
| Other information (if applicable) | Existing quarry site restoration is to agriculture and woodland |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including cumulative impact and impacts on: SSSI, hedgerows and trees, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on archaeological remains, Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: cumulative impact and other landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and means of crossing road between existing quarry & MJP11 site • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process</p> <p>(where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Listed Buildings and archaeological remains, Conservation Areas, Registered Historic Park and Garden) and their settings, and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on users of local roads and rights of way and on the heritage assets in the vicinity (Listed Buildings) and their settings • Design to include appropriate arrangements for crossing road between existing quarry & MJP11 site and improvements to existing quarry access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



POTGATE QUARRY, NORTH STAINLEY

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP10 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Potgate Quarry North Stainley Ripon HG4 3JN |
| (Grid Reference) | (427689 476336) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Lightwater Quarries Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 5,200,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 300,000 – 380,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 14.8 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2021 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 17 years |
| Proposed Access | Access to be into the western field of MJP10 from Potgate Quarry through the Musterfield extension (see below) with mineral to be processed at the existing quarry plant site. Material would then leave the site via the existing access along Water Lane (bridleway) onto the A6108 approximately 100m south of North Stainley. There would be no direct access to MJP10 from the public highway. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 32 (based on NY/2012/0319/ENV application details) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 90-162 (based on NY/2012/0319/ENV application details) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Arable agriculture with some biodiversity habitats (woodland, pasture, conservation grassland, hedgerows, pond, exposed rock faces and screes) |
| Other information (if applicable) | Planning permission was granted on 30 January 2015 for the extraction of limestone from an area of land west of the site at Musterfield (NY/2012/0319/ENV) |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including cumulative impact and impacts on: SINC, SSSI, hedgerows and trees, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on archaeological remains, Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: cumulative impact, AONB, tourism and other landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on public rights of way • Traffic impacts, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on local amenity, best and most versatile agricultural land, landscape, rights of way and local roads and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017948, 2012

BLUBBERHOUSES QUARRY, WEST OF HARROGATE

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP15 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extension of time to allow continuation of extraction of silica sand from existing site | |
| Location of Land | Blubberhouses Quarry Kex Gill Moor Blubbershouses Harrogate |
| (Grid Reference) | (414582 456437) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Hanson UK |
| Landowner | Landowners support submission |
| Current Use | Mothballed quarry (including areas partly excavated and areas of moorland) |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 4,050,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 83.43 of which 38.66 is proposed for extraction |
| Estimated date of commencement | Within next 5 – 10 years |
| Proposed Life of Site | 25 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing Blubberhouses Quarry access onto Kex Gill Road (U2478 unclassified road) approximately 155m from junction with A59, with the use of the existing conveyor tunnel under Kex Gill Road to the area north-west of Kex Gill Road. Note: the development involves the proposed movement of Kex Gill Road as the quarrying progresses to enable extraction (application details NY/2011/0465/73) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 80 (application details NY/2011/0465/73) |

| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 80 (Application details NY/2011/0465/73) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Moorland and wet bog |
| Other information (if applicable) | Existing quarry that is subject to an application (NY/2011/0465/73) to extend the period of time for working the site until 2036. That application is awaiting determination. |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SPA, SAC, protected species, potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Building, archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: AONB, National Park • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on rights of way and CROW access land • Traffic impact, including: access and road diversion • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to the supply of silica sand over the Plan period (Policy M12), but is not stated to be required to meet any current need for a specific manufacturing facility or market.</p> <p>The site is highly constrained due to its location within the AONB, and proximity to the SPA and SAC areas. It is not clear through a strategic level assessment whether the site could be developed consistent with relevant policy protection for these highly protected assets. A planning application for the development is currently awaiting determination and provides the most appropriate mechanism for resolving these issues.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: MJP15

Site Name: Blubberhouses Quarry, west of Harrogate

Site Area: 



Minerals and Waste Local Plan,
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire (DL7 9AF)


| | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Site Submitted: | Date: | 04/2013 |
| Compilation & Analysis: | Author: | MJG |

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data and is the property of Ordnance Survey. It is not to be used for any other purpose. Copyright of the Ministry of Defence is acknowledged. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey.

BARSNEB WOOD, MARKINGTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP32 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sandstone from part of a former quarry and a new extraction site to the north of that former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Barsneb Wood Quarry Hob Green Markington HG3 3PJ |
| (Grid Reference) | (428069 463612) North area (427881 463 317) South area |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Mr M C H Hutchinson) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Woodland and agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 900,000 (North area) 100,000 (South area) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 25,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | Total area 6.0 (North area is 4.0 hectares and South area 2.0 hectares) |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 16 years |
| Proposed Access | Access to use a track from the MJP32 (south area) to the north edge of the proposed MJP32 (north area) and then the Redgate Lane (bridleway) northwards along the bridleway join the Dole Bank (C263 road between Markington and Bishop Thornton) which is approximately 160m south-west of Hob Green |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 1-2 (estimate) |


| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 14 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | South area: woodland on an inclined sloping shelf joining to existing contours on west side of site, with benched sides on the north, east and south sides linking to existing contours on those sides. North area: no detailed restoration design |
| Other information (if applicable) | The northern area would initially to be used for soil storage, block stone and storage loading area for transport off-site, and no long-term development plan |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: ancient woodland, SINC, protected species, potential habitats, presence of invasive species • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings, archaeological remains, Registered Park and Garden • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on public rights of way • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to the supply of building stone over the Plan period (Policy M15), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, substantial constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process including impact on ancient woodland and the proposed access to the site being unsuitable. It is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts such that the site is not suitable for allocation.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



ALLERTON PARK, NEAR KNARESBOROUGH

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference | WJP08 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Retention of landfill and associated landfill gas utilisation plant and use of site for growth of energy/biomass crops beyond 2018. Proposed composting, transfer station and materials recycling facility, recycling (including of minerals for secondary aggregates) | |
| Location of Land | Allerton Park Allerton Knaresborough HG5 0SB |
| (Grid Reference) | (440797 459673) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner supports the submission |
| Current Use | Landfill and associated landfill gas utilisation plant |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 60,000 (based on current inputs). Current permit allows 365,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 60,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 29.0 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Continuation from 2018 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Until 2033 |
| Proposed Access | Existing at Allerton Park Landfill site onto the A168, approximately 3kilometres north of junction 47 of the A1(M) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 8 (based on details in application NY/2011/0328/ENV) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 72 (based on details in application NY/2011/0328/ENV) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design at present, but current approved scheme is agriculture and woodland |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>Site currently has planning permission until 2018 for landfill</p> <p>There would be built infrastructure to support the extension to the landfill operations & the recycling operation</p> <p>The Allerton Waste Recovery Park facility adjacent to the site is currently under construction</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Buildings and Registered Park and Garden • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: landfill site, local landscape features and impacts on users of right of way • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impacts on users of right of way, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Registered Park and Garden, Listed Buildings) and local landscape features and their respective settings, Allerton Waste Recovery facility and right of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access to local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>The WJP08 area already contributes to the waste management capacity within the Plan area and the adjacent Allerton Waste Recovery Park which is under construction will add to the range of facilities in this locality.</p> <p>Provision of support for the retention of existing uses and development of appropriate further uses could further contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in capacity (Policies W02 and W03). The continuation of the landfill would enable the reclamation of the former quarry void and would maintain increasingly scarce capacity for non-inert, non-hazardous waste.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



POTGATE (FORMER PIGGERY), NORTH STAINLEY - RECYCLING

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference WJP23 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Recycling of inert construction and demolition waste for secondary aggregates | |
| Location of Land | Former piggery site Potgate Quarry North Stainley Ripon HG4 3JN |
| (Grid Reference) | (427652 475467) |
| District | Harrogate |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Lightwater Quarries Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Abandoned Piggery |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 30,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 30,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 6.3 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | No end-date known at present. |
| Proposed Access | Existing Potgate Quarry access via Water Lane (bridleway) onto A6108 approximately 100m south of North Stainley village |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | None additional to staff involved in MJP10 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 8 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Proposed long term facility, so no firm restoration plans, but potentially light industrial |


| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | Planning permission for a concrete block manufacturing plant (MIN3474) was granted in 2011, but it has lapsed without implementation |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species and potential habitats • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: impacts on local residents and users of rights of way • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on public rights of way • Traffic impacts, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <p>None to date as assessment still in progress but based on existing knowledge of site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on local landscape features, local residents and users of rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme integrating with existing quarry scheme and using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site is located on previously developed land and is immediately adjacent to an active quarry.</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02). Subject to it being linked to the life of Potgate Quarry it would not conflict with Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process and the site has recently been the subject of a planning permission for a block making plant.</p> <p>Therefore the preliminary conclusion, pending further assessment, is that the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



SCARBOROUGH FIELD, FORCETT

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference | MJP03 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of Carboniferous limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Scarborough Field, adjacent to Forcett Quarry East Layton Richmond DL11 7PH |
| (Grid Reference) | (416313 510918) |
| District | Richmondshire |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | FTMINS (on behalf of Mrs R Gibbon and family) |
| Landowner | Supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 13.3 |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 3,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 150,000 |
| Waste Annual Import (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual Output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present, but estimated to be post-2021 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 10-20 years |
| Proposed Access | Site would be worked direct from existing Forcett Quarry and the stone would leave using the existing access onto Limekiln Lane (unclassified U1330) and thence via the existing private quarry haul road (which bypasses the East Layton village to the west of Moor Lane) onto Moor Lane (U1333) and then to A66. There would be no direct access from MJP03 site to public highway. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Estimate 20 (based on application NY/2007/0024/ENV) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Estimate 80-110 (based on application NY/2007/0024/ENV) |


| | |
|--|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Agriculture in base of the quarried area |
| Other information (if applicable) | Existing quarry is currently mothballed and would be restored including a lake, woodland and grassland. |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC's, protected species, woodland, potential for habitat creation • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Registered Park and Garden, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Landscape and visual intrusion issues • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access, haul road and A66 junction, right of way • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, listed buildings, registered park and garden, Conservation Area) and their settings, and on local landscape features • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. on amenity • Appropriate restoration design including potential for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to maintaining the landbank of crushed rock (Policy M06), would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND AT TOFT HILL, NEAR KIPLIN

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP62 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Land at Toft Hill (adjoining B6271) Sled Lane Ellerton upon Swale DL10 6AP |
| (Grid Reference) | (426119 497812) |
| District | Richmondshire |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | David L Walker Limited on behalf of Chas Long & Son |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 500,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 50,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 8.7 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-16 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 8-10 years |
| Proposed Access | <p>Access to be onto Sled Lane (U1423 unclassified road) approximately 85m from Ellerton Cross junction with B6271 at Ellerton, with options for transport of the as-raised material being</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • by road on B6271 to the Kiplin Hall Plant site (MJP46) for processing & distribution; or • by conveyor to the Kiplin Hall Plant site (MJP46) for processing & distribution; or • via an off-road haul route to the Kiplin Hall Plant site (MJP46) for processing & distribution; • or by taking the material to another location with existing processing facilities |

| | |
|--|---|
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 6 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 24 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Lake with partial reed fringe, extension to Toft Hill copse & grassland (to be managed for a species-rich sward) & new/reinforced hedgerows along B6271 & Sled Lane |
| Other information (if applicable) | Mineral likely to be processed at existing Kiplin processing plant site (see MJP46) |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINCR, protected species, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, cumulative effects, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled monument and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: village, local landscape features, cumulative effects, users of local roads and tracks • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, some areas of 2 and 3), surface water drainage and potential for flood storage • Traffic impact, including: access, local roads and cumulative effects • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the northwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, the site is only capable of making a small contribution to requirements and it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts particularly in terms of landscape, visual intrusion and local amenity. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |




This plan is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data and is the property of Ordnance Survey. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the permission of Ordnance Survey. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this plan. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any damage or loss of any kind arising from the use of this plan. © Crown Copyright. Ordnance Survey. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence No. 100017046 2014

KIPLIN PROCESSING PLANT SITE


| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference | MJP46 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Retention of processing plant site to serve future sand and gravel extraction in the local area | |
| Location of Land | Kiplin Processing Plant Site Kiplin Richmond DL10 6AT |
| (Grid Reference) | (427048 497656) |
| District | Richmondshire |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | FTMINS (on behalf of Kiplin Hall Trustees, now Kiplin Hall CIO) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Mothballed quarry processing plant site |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Total reserves in extraction areas likely to be served by this plant unknown at present, but includes 500,000 in Toft Hill MJP62 site |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 50,000 (based on proposed output of material from MJP62) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 6.7 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-2016 (based on the development of site MJP62) |
| Proposed Life of Site | 12 years, including restoration |
| Proposed Access | Existing Kiplin Plant site access onto the B6271 approximately 440m west of entrance to Kiplin Hall and then via B6271 and A6136 to strategic road network at Catterick |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (submitter information) |

| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 24 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet |
| Other information (if applicable) | The plant site was mothballed in approximately 2012 and the current approved restoration plan for the MJP46 site is to agriculture by 4 June 2017 |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Buildings and undesignated designed landscape • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: tourism • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zones 2 and 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to maintaining supply of aggregate through the continued provision of minerals processing infrastructure. The submitter has put this site forward primarily to provide capacity for processing mineral extracted from the Toft Hill site (MJP62).</p> <p>Although located open countryside this is an established site for mineral processing infrastructure and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. However, as it is not proposed to allocate MJP62 as a Preferred Site it is considered that there is insufficient justification for the retention of this infrastructure in an open countryside location.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: **MJP46**

Site Name: **Kiplin Plant**

Site Area 



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan,
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire DL7 9AH

Site Submission:
Date: 09/2013
Completion & Analysis: MWRP

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017948, 2013.

HILLCREST, HARMBY

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP01 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Waste Transfer Station (including recycling) | |
| Location of Land | Hillcrest Harmby Main Road Harmby DL8 5PE |
| (Grid Reference) | (412700 489800) |
| District | Richmondshire |
| Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | R and I Heugh |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Scrap Yard including end of life vehicle dismantling |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 10,000 – 15,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 10,000 – 15,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.64 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Permanent |
| Proposed Access | Existing access onto A684 at Harmby, approximately 205m east of the junction with the C42 road to Spennithorne |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 1 – 2 (estimate agreed with submitter) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Up to 10 (estimate agreed with submitter) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | None proposed |


| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Other information (if applicable)</p> | <p>There is no end-date specified by existing planning conditions for the existing scrap yard facility</p> <p>WJP01 proposal likely to include a new waste transfer building at east end of site and office facility near the site entrance</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species and TPO trees • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: village and local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, effects on users of rights of way etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on village, users of rights of way and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, odour, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policies W01 and W04) and it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W11 waste site identification principles and W02 strategic role of Plan area in the management of waste.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



TANCRED, NEAR SCORTON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference | WJP18 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Proposed retention of landfill, recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer) and open windrow composting facilities | |
| Location of Land | Tancred Landfill and Recycling Facility Brompton Road Scorton Richmond |
| (Grid Reference) | (423454 500004) |
| District | Richmondshire |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Waste transfer and recycling, open windrow composting at west end of site with landfill and recycling of inert waste at east end of site |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 150,000 - Landfill 26,999 - Composting 100,999 - Municipal and commercial recycling- bulking and transfer (All above estimates for 2020) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 127,998 (based on tonnage imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 10.0 - Inert landfill 1.98 – Recycling and composting facility |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 – 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access at Tancred facility onto B6271 approximately 1400m west of Scorton village |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 20 (estimate) |

| | |
|--|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 218 (estimate based on application MIN3995 details) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available, as currently under review, but current planning permissions require restoration to standard suitable for agriculture |
| Other information (if applicable) | Compost to be used in restoration to agriculture of the landfill site near Tancred Grange (which is currently permitted until June 2016). Operation of the transfer station/ recycling facility and composting area is currently permitted until March 2025 with restoration to agriculture |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, potential for invasive species, potential habitats • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features, landfill, cumulative impact with quarrying and its associated restoration in vicinity • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly in Zone 1 but parts in Zones 2 and 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, effects on users of rights of way, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: local landscape features, cumulative effects of quarrying and its associated restoration in vicinity • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02) and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings (1995) 7948, 2013.

SETTRINGTON QUARRY

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference | MJP08 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of Jurassic limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry and importation of soils for use in restoration | |
| Location of Land | Settrington Quarry Settrington Malton North Yorkshire YO17 8NX |
| (Grid Reference) | (482790 469682) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | David L Walker Limited (on behalf of Fenstone Limited) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 1,700,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 80,000 – 120,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 30,000 (soils for use in restoration) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 5.6 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2018 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20-25 years |
| Proposed Access | The site would be worked direct from within the existing Settrington Quarry and stone would leave using the existing quarry access onto the C350 road (between Settrington and B1248 from Norton) approximately 75m east of Langton Lane (U8022 unclassified road). There would be no direct access from MJP08 site to the public highway. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 24 (based on application MIN3070) |

| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 36 typical, with maximum of 44 (submitter details) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but submitter proposes nature conservation and grazing with a continuation of the existing practice of battering the quarry sides using on-site material supplemented by imported subsoil and topsoil |
| Other information (if applicable) | Extraction would be a minimum of 100m from Langton Lane, consistent with the existing quarry operation |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species; potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains Listed Buildings and Conservation Area • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: other landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on 'other route with public access' and leisure trail • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Scheduled Monuments, other potential archaeological remains, Listed Buildings and Conservation Area) and their settings and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for other rights of way including associated mitigation, as appropriate • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to maintaining the landbank of crushed rock (Policy M06), would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017346, 2013.

WHITEWALL QUARRY, NEAR NORTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP12 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Jurassic limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Whitewall Quarry Welham Road Norton YO17 9EH |
| (Grid Reference) | (479108 468996) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | W. Clifford Watts Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed to MJP12 site area |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 9 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Prior to 2023 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 2031 |
| Proposed Access | The existing quarry access approximately 330m south of the edge of Norton onto Whitewall Corner Hill road (C177), with no access to MJP12 site direct from public highway |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 46 (based on details in application NY/2013/0058/FUL) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 50 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design for proposed extension yet, but would be compatible with the approved scheme for the existing quarry, which is undulating grassland with tree and shrub planting |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | Southern half of MJP12 site would be not be extracted, but would be used for screening purposes only |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SAC, SINC, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings and their settings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: on the town and landscape features, and cumulative impact of quarrying • Impact on economy of the town and local area • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access, cycle route and town and its economy • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Listed Buildings and archaeological remains, Scheduled monuments, Conservation Area) and their settings, and local landscape features (such as the ridgeline near the south end of the site) • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include improvements to existing quarry access and traffic mitigation measures to limit impact on amenity and the local economy • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, blasting, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to maintaining the landbank of crushed rock (Policy M06), would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: MJ12

Site Name: Whitewall Quarry, near Norton

Site Area



Whitewall and Welham Wold
County Hall, Southwell, Notts,
North Yorkshire, DL7 9JF


| | | |
|-----------------------|------|---------|
| Site Submission | Date | 05/2015 |
| Completion & Approval | | MVP |

This map is published as an Ordnance Survey map with the permission of Ordnance Survey in part of the Copyright in the Material. Ordnance Survey is the copyright owner. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for any consequences arising from the use of the information.

CROPTON QUARRY, CROPTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP64 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Jurassic limestone from proposed extension to former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Land to north of former Cropton Quarry Cropton Lane Cropton North Yorkshire YO18 8HG |
| (Grid Reference) | (475997 486903) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MCJA on behalf of W Clifford Watts |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 1,800,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 180,000 – 250,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 2.4 |
| Estimated date of commencement | By 2020 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 10years |
| Proposed Access | No direct access to the site from the public highway rather the access would be via the former quarry site entrance approximately 160m to the south-east, onto Cropton Lane (C63 road) and south to the A170 at Wrelton |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 20 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 90 (submitter information based on maximum output of 250,000 tonnes per annum) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be to nature conservation |


| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Other information (if applicable)</p> | <p>Site was subject to a planning application for extraction, which was withdrawn in 1974. The land immediately to the south of the MJP64 site is a dormant quarry, which can only be re-opened if new planning conditions are submitted to and determined by North Yorkshire County Council. To the south of that is a former quarry area which does not have planning permission for extraction but which is the former location of the weighbridge).</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: trees, woodland, protected species and potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: impact on amenity of Wrelton, noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to supply of crushed rock over the Plan period (Policy M09).</p> <p>However, it is considered that there would be likely to be a significant potential risk of contamination of a groundwater source protection zone, as well as significant adverse impacts on the amenity of residents in Wrelton due to the scale and nature of traffic associated with the development. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements for crushed rock.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



WEST HESLERTON QUARRY

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP30 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Sandsfield Scarborough Road West Heslerton YO17 8RH |
| (Grid Reference) | (491615 476633) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Cook & Son) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Bungalow and associated land |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 30,000 – 50,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 35,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.29 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 1 year |
| Proposed Access | There would be no direct access to the MJP30 site, rather the mineral would be taken direct into the existing West Heslerton Quarry without transport on the public highway. Material would then leave via the existing Quarry access onto A64 approximately 490m east of West Heslerton village |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (application details NY/2010/0097/73) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 14 (application details NY/2010/0097/73) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Low level agriculture, similar to the scheme for adjacent existing quarry with batters on sides to tie in with existing restored areas |
| Other information (if applicable) | Planning permission to replace the bungalow may be sought in the future |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: trees, protected species • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains • Landscaping issues, including: local landscape features including sunken character of existing quarry landform and trees • Water issues, including: flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains) and landform of the area • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Maintenance of appropriate standard of access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand over the Plan period (Policy M08), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from original survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey under licence of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Information reproduced through Ordnance Survey permission. All other data is the property of the author(s).

SANDS WOOD, SANDY LANE, WINTRINGHAM

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP50 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand from proposed new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Land to east of Sandy Lane Sands Wood Sandy Lane Wintringham YO17 8HX |
| (Grid Reference) | (487612 474931) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Carter Jonas LLP (on behalf of Wintringham Estate) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and forestry |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Unknown at present |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 25,000 – 50,000 (estimate) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 56 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Exact location not yet known, but site abuts Sandy Lane (U1765) and the A64 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 2 – 5 (estimate based on estimate of output) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 12 – 24 (estimate based on estimate of output) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Woodland, agriculture and nature conservation areas |


| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINCs, woodland, protected species and potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Park and Garden, Listed Buildings, archaeological remains, undesignated designed landscape • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features including Wolds escarpment and users of tourism facilities in the area • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact including: access and local roads (such as A64) • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand over the Plan period (Policy M08), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>The site assessment process has identified the potential for significant adverse impacts particularly on the biodiversity and historic assets of the area. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



BROWS QUARRY, MALTON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP63 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of building stone from part of a former quarry and a proposed extension to the quarry | |
| Location of Land | Brows Quarry York Road Malton |
| (Grid Reference) | (477700 471100) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | The Fitzwilliam (Malton) Estate |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Part disused quarry containing woodland and part agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 37,500 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Approximately 750 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.48 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 25 years |
| Proposed Access | Main site access would be onto B1248 approximately 220m south-west of Rockingham Close, Malton. However, there would be a temporary access approximately 280 metres to the west of the proposed main site entrance to enable the delivery of the excavator and the formation of the main site entrance from within the site |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 4 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | None applicable, as stone to be removed in vehicle of up to 7 tonnes weight only |

| | |
|--|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Shallow sloping valley from north-west corner to join existing quarry floor which would be used for agriculture (pasture) |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>Planning permission for the extraction of building stone at Browns Quarry (NY/2007/0293/FUL) was granted in 2009, but the permission was not implemented within the specified timescale so has lapsed.</p> <p>No drilling or blasting proposed. About 50% of the stone quarried will be unsuitable for use as building stone due to quality so the operation would involve the extraction of about 1500 tonnes per year to achieve the output, but the surplus material would remain on site in order to form the sloping sides of the restored site</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <p>None to date as assessment still in progress because site was submitted in response to the supplementary sites consultation. However, based on existing knowledge of the site likely to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: trees, woodland, protected species and potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impact on: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <p>None to date as assessment still in progress but based on existing knowledge of site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains), local landscape features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to supply of building stone over the Plan period (Policy M15), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process and the site has recently been the subject of a planning permission for building stone extraction.</p> <p>Therefore the preliminary conclusion, pending further assessment, is that the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey. 100017896 2015.

WHITEWALL QUARRY, NEAR NORTON - RECYCLING

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference | MJP13 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Expansion to area used for recycling of construction, demolition and soil waste for secondary aggregates within existing quarry void | |
| Location of Land | Whitewall Quarry Whelham Road Norton YO17 9EH |
| (Grid Reference) | (479163 469527) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | W. Clifford Watts Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Part quarry, part existing recycling area |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 20,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 20,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 2.25 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Prior to 2023 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Until 2023 (permitted lifespan of existing quarry) |
| Proposed Access | Existing quarry access, approximately 330m south of edge of Norton onto Whitewall Corner Hill road (C177) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP12) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP12) |


| | |
|--|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Proposed restoration to the approved scheme for the existing quarry, which is undulating grassland with tree and shrub planting |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Area, Listed Buildings and their settings • Landscape impact if retained in long-term • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access, cycle route and town and its economy • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on heritage assets (Listed Buildings, Scheduled monuments and Conservation Area) and their settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include improvements to existing quarry access and traffic mitigation measures to limit impact on amenity and the local economy • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policies W01, W09, W10 and W11) and subject to it being linked to the life of Whitewall Quarry it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



WHITEWALL QUARRY, NEAR NORTON - RECYCLING

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference WJP09 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Materials recycling facility to sort/treat household waste and including composting | |
| Location of Land | Whitewall Quarry Welham Road Norton YO17 9EH |
| (Grid Reference) | (479289 469535) |
| District | Ryedale |
| Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | W. Clifford Watts Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Quarry |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 25,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 25,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 0.87 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Prior to 2023 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 2030 |
| Proposed Access | Existing quarry access, approximately 330m south of edge of Norton on Whitewall Corner Hill road (C177) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 2 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 28-32 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Proposed restoration to the approved scheme for the existing quarry, which is undulating grassland with tree and shrub planting |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SAC, SINC, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: cumulative impact and other landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access, cycle route and town and local economy • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impacts on town and its economy, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>The site could contribute to the further provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01).</p> <p>However, the Waste Disposal Authority has not indicated any requirement for a facility in this location to deal with household waste and the County Council is already developing a waste transfer station for household waste at Kirkby Misperton.</p> <p>The development could add significantly to traffic movements on local roads in combination with existing and proposed development in this location.</p> <p>It is not considered that there is sufficient justification for this form of development in this location.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



This map is reproduced from the Survey of Canada. Any reproduction of this map is subject to the Copyright Act of the City of Toronto. The City of Toronto is not responsible for any errors or omissions. Copyright © 2011.

METES LANE, SEAMER

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP49 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand and gravel from a new extraction site | |
| Location of Land | Metes Lane Seamer Carr Scarborough |
| (Grid Reference) | (502582 482029) |
| District | Scarborough |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | James Stockdale Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowners support the submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | In excess of 2,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 110,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 128 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2018 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20 -25 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access at Herdborough Farm onto A64, approximately 375m north of A64 junction with B1261 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 8 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 40 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be restoration to some form of agriculture |
| Other information (if applicable) | |

Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment


- Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINCs, protected species, potential habitats, cumulative impact with existing/WJP15 adjacent waste facility
- Impact on BMV agricultural land
- Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monument and archaeological remains
- Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features, local road / railway / right of ways and their users (including on parts of the Yorkshire Wolds), tourism and the economy, cumulative impact with existing/WJP15 adjacent waste facility
- Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small areas of Zones 2 and 3) and surface water drainage
- Traffic impact, including: access and local roads (such as A64)
- Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc.

Reasons for selecting/discounting site

This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area over the Plan period (Policy M07), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.

The site assessment process has identified the potential for significant adverse impacts particularly on the historic environment, groundwater, rights of way and the A64. Other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.


Therefore the site is a **Discounted Site**.



SEAMER CARR, EASTFIELD, SCARBOROUGH

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference | WJP15 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Retention of existing recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer), open windrow composting, and energy from waste (biomass) facilities beyond end of current planning permissions which are currently limited to 2020 and new inert waste screening facility | |
| Location of Land | Seamer Carr Dunslow Road Eastfield Scarborough YO12 4QA |
| (Grid Reference) | (503420 483260) |
| District | Scarborough |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Landfill (under restoration), Recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer), Open windrow composting and Energy from Waste (Biomass and Landfill Gas Utilization) |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25,000 Composting • 47,000 Kerbside Recycling - bulking and transfer in existing MRF • 75,000 C&I Recycling and Municipal Residual waste in 'new' MRF (as at 2020) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 147,000 (estimate based on imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 107.8 |
| Estimated date of commencement | From 2020 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 – 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing Seamer Carr access via Dunslow Road (U825 unclassified road) onto Cayton Approach and Seamer Carr Road to A64 |


| | |
|--|--|
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 32 (application details MIN3314 and NY/2007/0294/FUL) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 124 – 164 (application details MIN3314 and NY/2007/0294/FUL) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet available as restoration plan is under review |
| Other information (if applicable) | Compost to be used in site restoration of landfill site, which is being restored to woodland, shrubs and grassland with original recycling building to be retained for continued use under current planning permission until 2020. Other recycling building not time limited. Energy from Waste (GEM plant currently time limited to 2020). Landfill gas utilisation plant to be removed when no longer required for that function |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, protected species, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled monument • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: landfill site, screening, local landscape features and effects on users of A64 and rights of way • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly Zone 1 but small areas of Zones 2 and 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access, local roads and A64 • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, odour, bio-aerosols, effects on users of rights of way, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process | |
| (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: Starr Carr Scheduled monument and its setting, local landscape features and users of A64 and rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, odour, bio-aerosols, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02) and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND TO NORTH OF HEMINGBROUGH

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP45 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of clay as proposed extensions to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Land adjacent to former Hemingbrough brickworks Hull Road Hemingbrough |
| (Grid Reference) | (466906 431589 land to west) (467754 431603 land to east) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MJCA on behalf of Plasmor Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 1,800,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 150,000 – 200,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 35.12 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 9 – 12 years |
| Proposed Access | New access proposed onto A63 to west of Garth House, Hull Road (A63) approximately midway along the southern boundary of the west extension which would be used by HGVs once constructed, but until then the existing access onto the Hull Road (A63) opposite the north end of Main Street (U1480 unclassified road) at Hemingbrough would be used in accordance with the existing planning permission. Once the new access is constructed the existing access would be used by site staff and visitors only to the site offices. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 16 (application details NY/2015/0058/ENV) |

| | |
|---|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 100 (application details NY/2015/0058/ENV) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | A series of ponds with marginal planting, areas of wildflower meadow, neutral & acidic grassland & species rich hedgerow |
| Other information (if applicable) | Planning application NY/2015/0058/ENV is currently awaiting determination (Note: the NY/2015/0058/ENV application does not include the 3 fields in the south-east corner of the MJP45 area) |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, trees, hedgerows, protected species, birdstrike restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains and Conservation Area • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features and users of local roads and railway • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impact on public right of way and leisure route • Traffic impact including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. • Potential for recreation/tourism on restoration | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues, in particular with regard to avoiding impacts on the nearby SINC site • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains and Conservation Area) and local landscape features and their respective settings and users of local roads, public right of way and leisure route and railway • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation, recreation and tourism | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of brick clay over the Plan period (Policy M13), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND ADJACENT TO FORMER ESCRICK BRICKWORKS


| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP55 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of clay as extensions to a former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks Escrick YO19 6ED |
| (Grid Reference) | (462008 446780) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MJCA on behalf of Plasmor Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 5,350,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 200,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | See WJP06 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 59.0 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Anticipated to be approximately 2025 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 27 years extraction upon commencement with 21.5 years for completion of landfill (WJP06) based on infilling commencing 2 years after extraction commences |
| Proposed Access | Existing access via the former Escrick Brickworks and U722 unclassified road by Escrick Business Park onto the A19 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 50 (Application details NY/2007/0127/FUL) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available yet, but would be back to agriculture at or near original ground levels |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Other information (if applicable)</p> | <p>WJP06 proposes landfill of the MJP55 site</p> <p>MJP55 is proposed to enable a continuation of clay resource to the existing Heck facility operated by the submitter once the reserves at Hemingbrough Quarry proposed in MJP45 have been extracted</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: Natura 2000 site, SSSI, SINCE, woodland, trees, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, Conservation Area and unregistered designed landscape • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features, impacts on users of leisure route • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zones 1 and 2) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and A19 • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, leisure route, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (unregistered designed landscape) and local landscape features and their respective settings and the leisure route • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Maintenance of access to local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration schemes using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting longer term requirements for the supply of brick clay for the Plasmor block works in the event that sufficient supplies cannot be obtained from the Preferred site at Hemingbrough (MJP45) towards the end of the Plan period (Policy M13).</p> <p>The site is large and contains resources well in excess of those likely to be required to meet the current policy requirements. The site is also subject to significant constraints.</p> <p>However, it is considered that subject to appropriate siting, design and mitigation there is likely to be potential to develop an appropriately scaled site within the overall area put forward.</p> <p>Therefore the site is identified as a Preferred Area within which an appropriately scaled site could be developed if required.</p> | |

BARNSDALE BAR QUARRY, NEAR KIRK SMEATON

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference | MJP28 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of Magnesian limestone as proposed extensions to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Barnsdale Bar Quarry Long Lane Kirk Smeaton WF8 3JX |
| (Grid Reference) | (451198 415012) North (450974 414846) North-west |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RPS (on behalf of WRG) – now FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner of north area supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 700,000 (north) 1,960,000 (north-west) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 175,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 3.5 (north) 9.3 (north-west) |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015 (north) with north-west area to follow on completion of north area |
| Proposed Life of Site | 4 years (north) 6 - 8 years (north-west) |
| Proposed Access | No direct access to the public highway from the proposed extraction areas, rather they would be access from within the existing Barnsdale Bar Quarry and material would then leave using the existing access along Long Lane onto Woodfield Road (approximately 115m east of Barnsdale Bar junction of A1 with A639/A6201) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 18 (Application details NY/2014/0393/ENV) |


| | |
|---|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 56 (Application details NY/2014/0393/ENV) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet for north-west area, but north is proposed to be low level restoration to agriculture with batters of sides to have shrub planting on ledges similar to the approved scheme for the parts of the existing quarry |
| Other information (if applicable) | A planning application (NY/2014/0393/ENV) to extract from the MJP28 north area as an extension to the existing quarry is currently awaiting determination. No planning application has yet been submitted for the MJP28 north-west area |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: woodland, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Conservation Area, archaeological remains • Landscaping issues, including impacts on: a designated Locally Important Landscape Area, local landscape features and cumulative impact of quarrying • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, air quality, impacts on users of the A1, rights of way and other unclassified tracks, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains and Conservation Area), Green Belt and their respective settings and local landscape features, • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way and associated mitigation, as appropriate • Maintenance of appropriate standard of access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt and a Locally Important Landscape Area | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



WENT EDGE QUARRY, NEAR KIRK SMEATON

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference MJP29 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Went Edge Quarry Went Edge Road Kirk Smeaton WF8 3JS |
| (Grid Reference) | (449955 416992) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Mining Consultants now known as Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Meakin Properties) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 3,600,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 600,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 5.6 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015 subject to obtaining planning permission for current application NY/2014/0113/ENV |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 years |
| Proposed Access | No direct access to MJP29 site, rather it would be accessed from within the existing Went Edge Quarry and material would leave the quarry via the existing access onto Went Edge Road (C344), approximately 290m east of A1(M) south-bound junction at Wentbridge |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 6 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 100 (based on past output) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Low level restoration with potential to relocate existing Went Edge industrial estate into the quarry void, subject to obtaining planning permission with remainder of quarry floor to be restored to limestone grassland (pasture or hay) with an open mosaic limestone grassland on the quarry sides formed by natural regeneration with small pockets of trees and shrubs planted |
| Other information (if applicable) | Existing restoration scheme for quarry is to limestone grassland with blocks of woodland and scrub. A planning application (NY/2014/01113/ENV) is currently awaiting determination to extract limestone from the 1.7 hectares in the north-east part of the MJP29 area as an extension to the existing quarry. |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, trees, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues including impacts on: a Locally Important Landscape Area, local landscape features and users of the A1 • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, air quality, impacts on users of rights of way, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains) and Green Belt and their respective settings, a Locally Important Landscape Area and local landscape features and users of the A1 • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt and a Locally Important Landscape Area | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017648, 2012


JACKDAW CRAG, STUTTON

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP23 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone as proposed extensions to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Jackdaw Crag Quarry Moor Lane Stutton Tadcaster LS24 9BE |
| (Grid Reference) | (446326 441400) south area (446735 441350) east area |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner of south and part of east area supports submission. Landowner support of remainder of east area is unknown. |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 3,000,000 (south area) 3,700,000 (east area) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 – 300,000 (south area) 250,000 (east area) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 6.0 (south area) 6.2 (east area) |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-2016 (south area) with east area to follow on completion of south area |
| Proposed Life of Site | 10 years (south area) Unknown at present (east area) |
| Proposed Access | Existing Jackdaw Crag quarry access onto Moor Lane (C305), approximately 35m south of the bridge over A64 which leads to the A659 & the A64. No direct access to either proposed area from the public highway. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 6 (Application details NY/2009/0523/ENV) |

| | |
|---|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 90-334 (Application details NY/2009/0523/ENV) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be low level restoration to agriculture similar to the existing quarry approved scheme |
| Other information (if applicable) | A planning application for the south area (NY/2009/0523/ENV) is currently awaiting determination |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC (including its potential isolation), protected species, cumulative effects, potential habitats • Impacts on gas pipeline • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, Registered Battlefield and Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features, cumulative effects of quarrying • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Impacts on public rights of way (actual and claimed) • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues including potential isolation of the SINC • Design to include suitable arrangements for retention or diversion of gas pipeline (as appropriate) • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains, Listed Buildings and Registered Battlefield), Green Belt and their respective settings, local landscape features and on rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process for the Jackdaw Crag (south area). Therefore this part of the site is a Preferred Site.</p> <p>With respect to the Jackdaw Crag (east area) it is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly in terms of the potential risk of contamination of groundwater source protection zones and the isolation of the Crag Wood SINC from surrounding habitats and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the</p> | |

requirements. Therefore this east part of the site is a **Discounted Site**.

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT - NOT FOR PUBLICATION




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. 2008. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence No: 100019462/2008.

OLD LONDON ROAD, STUTTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP31 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone from a new extraction site adjacent to former quarry and import of construction and excavation waste for use in forming proposed restoration landform | |
| Location of Land | Old London Road Stutton |
| (Grid Reference) | (447108 440321) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Mr T F Fawcett) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,250,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 200,000 – 300,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 200,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 9 |
| Estimated date of commencement | May 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 11 years (based on annual output of 200,000 tonnes) |
| Proposed Access | Access to be via existing access to WJP04 (east) site area onto Old London Road bridleway and route would be then north on the bridleway onto unclassified U796 at Stutton and then via Moor Lane (C305) across the bridge over A64 which leads to A659 and A64 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 7 (estimate agreed by submitter) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 48 (estimate agreed by submitter) |

| | |
|---|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | The restoration would be a bowl shape extended from WJP04 with pasture on the bowl floor and grassland and woodland on the sloping sides |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>The stone will be removed to 15.2 metres AOD from a surface level of 57 metres AOD.</p> <p>270,000 tonnes of quarry fines would be transported from MJP31 to site MJP58 for temporary storage pending use in restoration of MJP31 site.</p> <p>Once infilling starts at the quarry in 2019, with the import of 600,000 cubic metres of inert construction and excavation waste, and other inert material such as glass and ceramics to mix with excavation waste for restoration purposes, the floor will be filled to provide a 2 metre soil thickness and the faces filled against to provide the slopes. The slope will start at 17 metres AOD and rise to the surface, crest of the face which will be at 57 to 60 metres AOD.</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, trees, hedgerows, protected species, potential habitats • Impacts on gas pipeline • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Battlefield and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including local landscape features and cumulative impact with other quarrying • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on public rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, substantial constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process including impact on the Registered Battlefield at Towton. It is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, particularly on the elements which contribute to the significance of the registered battlefield, the local landscape, groundwater and rights of way, and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction whicges Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2012

LAND TO NORTH OF OLD LONDON ROAD QUARRY, STUTTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP53 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Magnesian limestone from a new extraction site and import of construction and excavation waste for use in forming proposed restoration landform | |
| Location of Land | Land to north-west of Old London Road Quarry Old London Road Stutton |
| (Grid Reference) | (446963 440600) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Carter Jonas LLP (on behalf of White Quarry Farm) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 5,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 250,000 – 300,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 200,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 18 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2023 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Exact location of access not known yet, but likely to be in the south-east corner of the site onto the Old London Road (bridleway), and then onto the unclassified U796 at Stutton, and then onto Moor Lane (C305) in the direction of the bridge over A64, which leads to A659 and A64 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 7 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 48 (estimate) |


| | |
|--|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be to a bowl shape with pasture in the base of the bowl, with sloping sides formed from imported material (which would be restored to grassland and woodland) |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>The stone will be removed to 15.2 metres AOD from a surface level of 57 metres AOD.</p> <p>Up to 600,000 tonnes of quarry fines from MJP53 would be to be transported to site WJP04 for temporary storage pending use (by placement over the imported waste) in restoration of MJP53 site.</p> <p>Once infilling starts at the quarry in 2026, by import of 1,000,000 cubic metres of construction and excavation waste the floor will be filled to provide the 2 metre soil thickness for the pasture in the base of the bowl and the faces will be filled against to provide the slopes. The slopes will start at 17 metres AOD and rise to the surface, crest of the face which will be at 57 to 60 metres AOD.</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, SINC, hedgerow, trees, protected species, potential habitats • Impacts on gas pipeline • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Battlefield and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features, visitors to Battlefield, cumulative impact with other quarrying, • Impacts on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, cumulative impact with other quarrying, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of Magnesian limestone over the Plan period (Policy M09), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>However, substantial constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process including impact on the Registered Battlefield at Towton. It is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts on the elements which contribute to the significance of the registered battlefield, the local landscape, groundwater and rights of way and other options are considered more appropriate to meet the requirements.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



OLD LONDON ROAD, STUTTON

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference | MJP58 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of Magnesian limestone, secondary aggregate recycling, storage of mineral fines and partial infilling with imported mineral fines material | |
| Location of Land | Old London Road Stutton |
| (Grid Reference) | (447108 440321) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Mr T F Fawcett) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Former quarry and landfill |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 15,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 15,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 100,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 50,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 3 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Late 2015 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 6 years (i.e. by 2022) |
| Proposed Access | <p>Access would be over the Old London Road bridleway into former Old London Road (East) Quarry and then via the existing Old London Road (East) access (near the north-east corner of that site) onto the tarmacked surfaced part of the Old London Road bridleway.</p> <p>From the bridleway the access route would be onto the unclassified U796 at Stutton and onto Moor Lane (C305) towards the bridge over A64 which leads to A659 and A64.</p> <p>In the long-term the existing former access in north east corner of the Old London Road (West) Quarry would be</p> |


| | |
|---|---|
| | used once the area had been filled in to enable the link to the tarmacked surfaced part of the Old London Road bridleway to be reinstated. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 7 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 50 (Screening opinion request NY/2013/0165/SCR) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Site to be restored to pasture and woodland using imported materials (300,000 tonnes) by grading into slopes to meet the original ground levels on the west, north and east sides of the site |
| Other information (if applicable) | Proposed on WJP04 (west) site There are no current planning permissions extant at this site for minerals extraction or waste activities |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, protected species, potential for invasive species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Battlefield and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features and cumulative impact with proposed quarrying in the vicinity, • Impacts on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small areas of Zone 2 and 3), and surface water drainage; potential for flood storage (if appropriate) • Impacts on public rights of way and their users • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads, cumulative impact with other sites in vicinity • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy over the Plan period (Policy W01). However, the location would not be consistent with Policy W11 relating to site identification principles as it is not located within an active quarry. Although the development could also make some contribution to supply of Magnesian limestone, the proposed total volume is very small and would not make a significant contribution to total supply.</p> <p>Additionally, substantial constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. It is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts, including on the setting of the Registered Battlefield at Towton and the character and amenity of the Green Belt.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



OLD LONDON ROAD, STUTTON

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP04 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extraction of Magnesian limestone if site MJP31 developed; • Temporary storage of mineral fines if sites MJP31 and MJP53 developed; and • Recycling of waste from construction industry and landfill in WJP04 (to east and west of Old London Road) areas irrespective of development of sites MJP31 and MJP53 | |
| Location of Land | Old London Road Quarry Old London Road Stutton |
| (Grid Reference) | (447367 440483) |
| District | Selby |
| Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Carter Jonas LLP (on behalf White Quarry Farm) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Two former quarry areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WJP04 (western part), which is also covered by submission MJP58) is currently disused but has been partially landfilled, and • WJP04 (east) is currently grassland and some woodland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | If MJP31 is worked and access is gained through WJP04 (east) then 245,000 tonnes remaining in WJP04 (east) would be released from the area between the old WJP04 (east) face and the southern field boundary of MJP31. No reserves exist if MJP31 is not worked. |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 245,000 (only if MJP31 is worked) |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 100,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 50,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 14.8 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2015-16 |
| Proposed Life of Site | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If MJP31 and MJP53 areas are not allocated and developed for mineral extraction: 2022 for WJP04 (west) and 2024 for WJP04 (east) |


| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If MJP31 and MJP53 are allocated and developed for minerals extraction, then: 2022 for WJP04 (west) and 2046 for WJP04 (east) |
| Proposed Access | Existing access onto Old London Road (bridleway) and then onto the unclassified U796 at Stutton, and then onto Moor Lane (C305) in the direction of the bridge over A64, which leads to A659 and A64 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 8 (estimate agreed by submitter) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 50 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be to grassland, woodland and agriculture to contours of surrounding land with benefits to nature conservation |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>Recycling would cease on completion of the landfill</p> <p>The area near Cock Beck would be left restored throughout the operation as a buffer zones to the operations proposed</p> |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, protected species, potential for invasive species, potential habitats Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Registered Battlefield and its setting Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features and cumulative impact with quarrying in area Impacts on Green Belt Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly in Zone 1, small areas of Zone 2) and surface water drainage Impacts on public rights of way and their users Traffic impact, including: access and local roads Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>The recycling element of this site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy over the Plan period (Policy W01). However, recycling is proposed in association with landfill and the landfilling of the site is not required as part of an agreed reclamation scheme (Policy W01). Although the development could make some contribution to supply of Magnesian limestone, the proposed total volume is small and would not make a significant contribution to total supply.</p> <p>Additionally, substantial constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process. It is considered that there would be likely to be significant adverse impacts including on the setting of the Registered Battlefield at Towton and on the character and amenity of the Green Belt.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



HENSALL QUARRY

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP22 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand as proposed extension to existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Hensall Quarry Heck Lane Hensall DN14 0QE |
| (Grid Reference) | (459045 422422) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RPS (on behalf of WRG) – now FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 800,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 50,000 – 60,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 4.3 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2025 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 16 years plus restoration |
| Proposed Access | Existing Hensall Quarry access onto unclassified New Road (U1077), approximately 75m north of A645 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 2-4 (application details NY/2012/0317/73) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 24-29 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Low level agriculture, similar to the scheme for adjacent existing quarry |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Buildings and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: sunken landform and cumulative effects on local landscape features and landscape character • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 3) and surface water drainage • Impact on users of right of way • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (Listed Buildings and archaeological remains), local landscape features and their respective settings, users of right of way to south • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise, dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand over the Plan period (Policy M08), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Ordnance Survey data reproduced with the permission of Ordnance Survey and the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. 1990.

LAND BETWEEN PLASMOR BLOCK MAKING PLANT, GREAT HECK AND POLLINGTON AIRFIELD

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference | MJP44 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of sand from proposed new extraction site adjacent to former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Land between Plasmor Heck Block making Plant and Pollington Airfield Pollington Lane Heck |
| (Grid Reference) | (460142 421077) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MJCA on behalf of Plasmor Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 900,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 40,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 8.16 |
| Estimated date of commencement | By 2020 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 22 years |
| Proposed Access | Access will be direct from the adjacent Plasmor block making plant to the west with sand transported by dump truck or conveyor direct to the plant for use in manufacture of blocks. Manufactured blocks already leave the block making plant by road & rail. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as no access to public highway |


| | |
|---|--|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | Nil, as no access to public highway and delivery of mineral from the site would substitute for 30-40 HGV movements per day on the public highway which currently delivers sand to the block-making plant from off-site |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Possibly low level agriculture, but no detailed design available yet |
| Other information (if applicable) | Manufactured blocks leave the block making plant by road and rail |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, protected species • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed building and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: local landscape features and cumulative effects with other quarrying • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impact on public right of way • Traffic impact including: access and advantages of co-location next to block manufacturing site • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (Listed building and archaeological remains) and local landscape character and features and their respective settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to mitigate impact on public right of way and its users • Maintenance of appropriate access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and taking account of the distinctive landscape character of the area | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand over the Plan period (Policy M08), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



MILL BALK QUARRY, GREAT HECK

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP54 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of sand from existing quarry | |
| Location of Land | Mill Balk Quarry Mill Balk Great Heck |
| (Grid Reference) | (458872 421430) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MJCA on behalf of Plasmor Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Mothballed sand quarry (since 2008) |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 70,000 (without current planning permission) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 50,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 10.3 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present, but would be prior to 2030 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Restoration would be prior to end of 2030 |
| Proposed Access | Existing access at Mill Balk Quarry onto Mill Balk (C339) leading north to A645 at Hensall |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 30-50 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | The current approved restoration scheme is to short rotation coppice in the base of the quarry with grassed perimeter slopes, but future restoration details will be established once the preferred method of extraction is determined |


| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | The existing planning permission is valid until 2042 and there are 220,000 tonnes of already consented reserves remaining at the site which would be worked when the site is re-opened |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, existing and potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features and cumulative impact with other quarries • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land (as appropriate) • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (archaeological remains) and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation including to compensate for existing habitats | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to meeting requirements for the supply of sand over the Plan period (Policy M08), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



BARLBY ROAD, SELBY

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP09 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Retention of handling facility for aggregates | |
| Location of Land | Barlby Road Selby YO8 5DZ |
| (Grid Reference) | (462923 432372) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | The Potter Group Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Rail and road freight distribution facility, including handling facility for aggregates |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | None by rail. Approximately 170,000 by road via existing CEMEX operation |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 25 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Site is already operational |
| Proposed Life of Site | 30 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing unnamed road via feed-mill level crossing route to A19 at Barlby. No date yet for an access to be constructed from the junction approximately 470m north of the river Ouse bridge on the A63 Selby Bypass. |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 25 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 120 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | None proposed |

| | |
|---|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | Current lifespan of facility tied to life of adjacent asphalt plant, but no set end-date |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. • Heritage asset issues, including: proximity to and impact on Listed Buildings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues • Water issues, including: flood risk (Zone 3) | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to include suitable arrangements for route to public highway • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Design to include landscaping to mitigate impact on users of local roads and recreation facilities including (Trans Pennine Trail and the Selby bypass) and on the heritage assets in the vicinity (Listed Buildings) and their settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to maintaining supply of aggregate through the continued provision of rail-linked infrastructure as well as to the sustainable transport of mineral (Policy I01). It would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. It is reproduced here for information only. It is not to be used for navigation or other purposes. © Crown Copyright and the Ordnance Survey 2011

DARRINGTON PROCESSING PLANT SITE AND HAUL ROAD

| | |
|---|---|
| Site reference MJP24 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Retention of processing plant site and haul road for processing of Magnesian limestone extracted from the part of Darrington Quarry located in the Wakefield Council area | |
| Location of Land | Darrington Quarry Stubbs Lane Cridling Stubbs Knottingley WF11 0AH |
| (Grid Reference) | (450759 421212) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RPS (on behalf of WRG – now FCC Environment) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Quarry plant site and associated haul road |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | (located in Wakefield Council area – 10,000,000 as at 2011) |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 450,000 – 500,000 extracted from the land in the Wakefield Council area |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | See MJP27 for recycling proposal |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | See MJP27 for recycling proposal |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 10.4 (plant site) |
| Estimated date of commencement | Site is already operational |
| Proposed Life of Site | 2028 |
| Proposed Access | Existing Darrington Quarry plant site access onto Stubbs Lane (C335), with the mineral to be brought from the Wakefield quarry site to the north of the M62 via the existing haul road and tunnel under Stubbs Lane |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 100 (Application details 08/01696/FUL) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 146 (Application details 08/01696/FUL) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No details proposed yet |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>An application to retain the plant and haul road at Darrington Quarry (NY/2012/0020/73) is currently awaiting determination. Extraction in Wakefield area currently permitted until 2028.</p> <p>Plant site area is the same location as MJP27 site</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: woodland, protected species, potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: unregistered designed parkland • Landscape issues, including: local landscape features • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impacts on users of rights of way, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (unregistered designed parkland), Green Belt and their respective settings and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way (diversion or retention, and associated mitigation, as appropriate) • Maintenance of appropriate standard of access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to maintaining supply of aggregate through the continued provision of minerals processing infrastructure (Policy M09). Although located in the Green Belt this is an established site and no overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This note is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. It is a further well-reproduced reference Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017948, 2017

DARRINGTON QUARRY – RECYCLING

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference MJP27 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Inert waste recycling facility | |
| Location of Land | Darrington Quarry Stubbs Lane Cridling Stubbs Knottingley WF11 0AH |
| (Grid Reference) | (450759 421212) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RPS (on behalf of WRG) – now FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Quarry processing plant site |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 100,000 (estimate) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 100,000 (aggregate and soils) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 10.4 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | At least 2028 |
| Proposed Access | Existing Darrington Quarry plant site access onto Stubbs Lane (C335) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP24) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP24) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information (if applicable) | Proposed on same site as location on plant site for MJ24 |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: woodland, protected species, potential habitats • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: unregistered designed parkland • Landscape issues, including impact on local landscape features • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (unregistered designed parkland) and Green Belt and their respective settings, and local landscape features, • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Maintenance of appropriate standard of access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt and a Locally Important Landscape Area | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policies W01, W05, W10 and W11) and subject to it being linked to the life of the processing plant MJ24 (if allocated in the Plan) it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: **MJP27**

Site Name: **Darrington Quarry- Recycling**

Site Area 



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan,
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire, DL7 8AJ


Site Submission Date: 06/2014
Completion & Analysis: MWJF

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017948, 2013.

BARNSDALE BAR, NEAR KIRK SMEATON – RECYCLING

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP26 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Recycling of inert waste to produce secondary aggregate | |
| Location of Land | Barnsdale Bar Quarry Long Lane Kirk Smeaton |
| (Grid Reference) | (451409 414654) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | RPS (on behalf of WRG) – now FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Quarry, former landfill site and inert aggregate recycling facility |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 100,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 100,000 (aggregate and soils) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 45.6 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Approximately 2016-20 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Throughout the plan period |
| Proposed Access | Existing Barnsdale Bar Quarry access along Long Lane onto Woodfield Road (approximately 115m east of Barnsdale Bar junction of A1 with A639/A6201) |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP28) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | No additional vehicles (to those of MJP28) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Other information (if applicable)</p> | <p>Operator seeking flexibility to locate the recycling facility within the site in order that it is close to areas undergoing restoration at the time, as current recycling area is limited to only one part of the site</p> <p>Site lies adjacent to the county boundary with the administrative area of Doncaster Council</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: woodland, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Landscaping issues, including impact on: designated Locally Important Landscape Area, local landscape features and cumulative effects of quarrying • Impact on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impacts on users of rights of way and other unclassified tracks, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on Green Belt and its setting and on local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for public rights of way and associated mitigation, as appropriate • Maintenance of appropriate standard of access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt and a Locally Important Landscape Area | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policies W01, W05, W10 and W11) and subject to it being linked to the life of Barnsdale Bar Quarry it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark. Crown copyright and any other copyright in this map are reserved. 2013/1401 2013

WENT EDGE QUARRY, NEAR KIRK SMEATON - RECYCLING

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP10 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Recycling of construction and demolition waste for secondary aggregate | |
| Location of Land | Went Edge Quarry Went Edge Road Kirk Smeaton WF8 3JS |
| (Grid Reference) | (449948 417206) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Cromwell Wood Estate Company Ltd (on behalf of Meakin Properties) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Part of existing quarry and industrial estate |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | No extraction proposed as part of WJP10 submission, but part of the WJP10 site does have an existing planning permission for the extraction of Magnesian limestone |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable to WJP10 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 150,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 60,000 |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 7.24 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Permanent |
| Proposed Access | Existing Went Edge Quarry access onto Went Edge Road (C344), approximately 290m east of A1(M) south-bound junction at Wentbridge |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 6 (submitter details) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 108 (submitter confirmed estimate) |

| | |
|---|--|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Low level restoration with potential to relocate existing Went Edge industrial estate into the quarry void, subject to obtaining planning permission with remainder of quarry floor to be restored to limestone grassland (pasture or hay) with an open mosaic limestone grassland on the quarry sides formed by natural regeneration with small pockets of trees and shrubs planted |
| Other information (if applicable) | Existing restoration scheme for quarry is to limestone grassland with blocks of woodland and scrub |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SSSI, protected species, potential for invasive species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land arising from previous and current quarry development in terms of long-term future of stored soils • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on local landscape features • Impacts on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, cumulative impact on air quality, effects on users of public rights of way, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: Green Belt and local landscape features and their settings • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, and impacts on air quality, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policies W01 and W011 waste site identification principles and W02 strategic role of Plan area in the management of waste) and subject to it being linked to the life of Went Edge Quarry it would not conflict with the draft policy on Green Belt D05.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Information reproduced from Ordnance Survey copyright data may be used for personal or internal reference purposes only.

COMMON LANE, BURN

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP16 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Bulking and transfer of municipal and commercial waste | |
| Location of Land | Selby Waste Transfer Facility Common Lane Burn Selby YO8 8LB |
| (Grid Reference) | (460350 429206) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Former airfield |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 65,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 65,000 (estimate based on imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 1.42 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Within next 5 years |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 – 20 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access onto Common Lane, Burn (C330) approximately 805m east of A19 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 12 (screening request NY/2013/0051/SCR) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 64 (screening request NY/2013/0051/SCR) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | None specified |


| | |
|---|---|
| Other information (if applicable) | Adjacent to an existing waste recycling operation |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, potential for invasive species • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: proximity to leisure trail • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 2), the canal and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: users of leisure trail and local landscape character • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer and surface water bodies • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in capacity for management of waste (Policies W03 and W04), and it would not conflict with Policy W11 waste site identification principles.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND ADJACENT TO FORMER ESCRICK BRICKWORKS

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference | WJP06 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Importation of inert waste for use in restoration of proposed clay extraction site (MJP55) | |
| Location of Land | Land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks Escrick YO19 6ED |
| (Grid Reference) | (462008 446780) |
| District | Selby |
| Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | MJCA on behalf of Plasmor Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | See MJP55 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | See MJP55 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 200,000 (based on total import of 4,000,000 tonnes to restore to original levels) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 59.0 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Approximately 2027 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 21.5 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access via the former Escrick Brickworks and U722 unclassified road by Escrick Business Park onto the A19 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 10 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 50 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available yet, but would be back to agriculture at or near original ground levels |

| | |
|---|---|
| Other information (if applicable) | This site would only be developed if MJ55 extraction occurs |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: Natura 2000 site, SSSI, SINC, woodland, trees, protected species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains, Conservation Area and unregistered designed landscape • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features, impacts on users of leisure route • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zones 1 and 2) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and A19 • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, effects on leisure route, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on heritage assets (archaeological remains, Conservation Area and unregistered designed landscape) and local landscape features and their respective settings and the leisure route • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer and surface water bodies • Maintenance of access to local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>The site may have some potential for inert landfill in order to achieve the reclamation of the site to agriculture in association with any future working of clay as part of site MJ55 and in these circumstances could be consistent with Policies W01, W02 and W11.</p> <p>The site is also subject to significant constraints. However, it is considered that these are likely to be capable of mitigation.</p> <p>Therefore the site is identified as a Preferred Area which would only be taken forward in conjunction with the development of MJ55.</p> | |



BROTHERTON QUARRY, BURTON SALMON

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP21 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Import of inert waste for restoration purposes | |
| Location of Land | Brotherton Quarry Tadcaster Road Burton Salmon WF11 9EF |
| (Grid Reference) | (449093 426488) |
| District | Selby |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | FCC Environment |
| Landowner | Landowner supports the submission |
| Current Use | Quarry |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 250,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 20.5 |
| Estimated date of commencement | To follow on from completion of restoration of area permitted under NY/2013/0324/73 |
| Proposed Life of Site | Until 2020 |
| Proposed Access | Existing Brotherton Quarry access onto A162 (approximately 50m south of Byram Nurseries), between Burton Salmon and Brotherton |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 12 |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 56-112 |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available |


| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Other information (if applicable)</p> | <p>Application NY/2013/0324/73, to extend the period of time for extraction and restoration of the eastern part of the site (which involves importing soils for restoration purposes) until 31 December 2020, was granted in October 2014.</p> <p>WJP21 would extend the area of proposed material import to include the western part of the quarry with a potential need for about 400,000 tonnes of inert material to restore the site.</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC, protected species, potential for invasive species, potential habitats • Impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Listed Buildings and undesignated designed landscape and their respective settings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including and impact of past quarrying • Impacts on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including access • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: Listed Buildings undesignated designed landscape, Green Belt, and their respective settings and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage • Improvements to access • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>As stated above the importation of material to eastern part of the site has been granted planning permission. The importation of material would enable the reclamation of the former quarry void which has previously been the subject of permission for landfill and therefore would not conflict with Policies W01 and W11.</p> <p>The site would need to be restored to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt.</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



LAND ON FORMER POLLINGTON AIRFIELD

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference | WJP22 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Import of wood for wood pellet production • Modification to biomass plant permission (reduction to throughput and output); • Additional infrastructure associated with wood processing such as site access, waste wood fuel processing building, chip dryer and storage areas | |
| Location of Land | Former Pollington Airfield Heck and Pollington Lane Heck DN14 0BZ |
| (Grid Reference) | (460237 421044) |
| District | Selby (and East Riding of Yorkshire Council) |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authorities | North Yorkshire County Council and East Riding of Yorkshire Council |
| Submitted by | Stobart Biomass Products Limited |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Processing plant to create waste wood biomass fuel and processing plant to create waste wood pellets |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 160,000 – for wood processing (pellet production) and 100,000 – for biomass energy plant |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 160,000 (based on proposed wood imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 27.83 of which approximately 15 hectares is in the East Riding of Yorkshire |
| Estimated date of commencement | By 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 2040 |
| Proposed Access | Existing at site onto Heck and Pollington Lane (C340) approximately 490m east of East Coast mainline railway |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 38 (based on scale up of application details NY/2009/0113/FUL) |


| | |
|--|---|
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 118 (based on scale up of application details NY/2009/0113/FUL) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Not specified at this time |
| Other information (if applicable) | <p>Planning permission (12.04.09.04/32C) has been granted to construct the biomass energy plant but it has yet to be built, and it includes the WJP22 site and some land adjacent to the north-eastern boundary.</p> <p>This proposal crosses the county boundary into the East Riding of Yorkshire and the proposer will consult with East Riding County Council regarding their Joint Plan.</p> <p>The planning proposal may also include installation of solar panels with a capacity of approximately 5MW</p> |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SINC and protected species, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small areas of 2 and 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, impact on users of right of way | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on archaeological remains and local landscape features • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Maintenance of appropriate access to local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, and impact on users of right of way etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>The site is based on an existing operation with an adjacent consent for the construction of a biomass energy plant.</p> <p>The allocation of this site could contribute to the further provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and it would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan, including Policy W02 facilitating net self-sufficiency in the management of waste</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore that part of the site within the Plan area is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



Site Reference: WJP22

Site Name: Land on former Pollington airfield

Site Area 

 Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire DL7 8AH


Site Submission
Date: 16/2014
Compilation & Analysis: MWJF

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017943-2014

LAND BETWEEN SANDSEND AND SCARBOROUGH

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP34 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of potash by underground methods from new site | |
| Location of Land | Land between Sandsend, Whitby, Scarborough and West Ayton |
| (Grid Reference) | (493842 500588) |
| District | Ryedale and Scarborough and including land within the North York Moors National Park |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authorities | North York Moors National Park Authority and North Yorkshire County Council |
| Submitted by | R Hunt (on behalf of York Potash Limited) |
| Landowner | Various |
| Current Use | Various non-minerals surface uses. No current underground workings. |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 250,000,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 5,000,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 27421 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2016 |
| Proposed Life of Site | More than 50 years |
| Proposed Access | If planning application NYM/2014/0676/MEIA were granted an initial construction access point for the 'shaft entrance' is proposed to be onto the B1416 near Dove's Nest Farm at National Grid Reference (NGR) NZ892054. A 'Welfare entrance' would then be created on the south boundary at (NGR) NZ895045 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 294 (based on application NYM/2014/0676/MEIA) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 40 (based on application NYM/2014/0676/MEIA) |


| | |
|---|---|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Woodland, open scrub, grassland and ponds (application NYM/2014/0676/MEIA) |
| Other information (if applicable) | Proposed minehead likely to be in the North York Moors National Park in vicinity of Sneaton Low Moor, with an underground conveyor route to a remote processing plant in Teesside. A planning application (NYM/2014/0676/MEIA) relating to extraction under the North York Moors National Park is awaiting issue of the decision notice |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <p>This site has not been subject to a detailed assessment (See below)</p> | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the supply of potash and polyhalite over the Plan period (Policy M23).</p> <p>The site is highly constrained due to its location within the National Park, and the proximity of other important constraints. Given the complexity and scale of this proposal it will need to be considered robustly against the criteria for major development in designated areas as set out in paragraph 116 of the NPPF. For this reason it is not considered that the proposal can be considered through a strategic level assessment and a full planning application is considered to be the most appropriate mechanism for resolving this issue.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



SPIKERS QUARRY, EAST AYTON

| | |
|---|--|
| Site reference MJP59 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Extraction of Jurassic limestone as proposed extension to former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Spikers Quarry Cockrah Road East Ayton YO13 9LB |
| (Grid Reference) | (498306 486199) |
| District | North York Moors National Park |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | North York Moors National Park |
| Submitted by | MCJA on behalf of W Clifford Watts |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 2,900,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 200,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | None proposed |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 5.6 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | 15 years |
| Proposed Access | Access would be onto the unclassified Cockrah Road (U551) and south to the A170 at West Ayton |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 14 (submitter information) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 40 (submitter information) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design is available yet, but would be potentially some form of recreation combined with nature/geological conservation |


| | |
|---|---|
| Other information (if applicable) | The stone would be used as aggregate and building stone and would be processed using mobile processing plant within the MJP59 site area |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: SAC National Nature reserve, SSSIs, protected species, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Conservation Area, Listed Buildings and archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: National Park, National Nature reserve, local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Impacts on rights of way and their users and other recreation • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>This site could contribute to the supply of crushed rock over the Plan period (Policy M09).</p> <p>Given the likely scale of this proposal it will need to be considered robustly against the criteria for major development in designated areas as set out in paragraph 116 of the NPPF. For this reason it is not considered that the proposal can be considered through a strategic level assessment and a full planning application is considered to be the most appropriate mechanism for resolving this issue.</p> <p>The site assessment process has identified other significant potential adverse impacts particularly on the biodiversity, landscape and water environment of the locality.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Discounted Site.</p> | |



FAIRFIELD ROAD, WHITBY

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference | WJP19 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Proposed extension to area and changes to existing facility for recycling and transfer of municipal and commercial waste | |
| Location of Land | Whitby Waste Treatment and Transfer Facility (Fairfield Transfer Station) Fairfield Way Fairfield Business Park Whitby YO22 4PU |
| (Grid Reference) | (490978 509580) |
| District | Scarborough |
| Mineral Planning Authority | North York Moors National Park Authority |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Partly existing recycling and transfer of municipal and commercial waste facility and partly grassland |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 51,700 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 51,700 (estimate based on imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 1.25 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Life of Site | Unknown at present |
| Proposed Access | Existing onto Fairfield Way (unclassified U98) to A171 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 60 (source: application details NYM/2010/0497/FL) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 38 (source: application details NYM/2010/0497/FL) |

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design available |
| Other information (if applicable) | |
| <p>Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: protected species, potential for invasive species • Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings and Registered Park and Garden and their respective settings • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: National Park • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Traffic impacts, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc. | |
| <p>Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process (where site is proposed for allocation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: the National Park, and the Scheduled Monument, Listed Buildings and Registered Park and Garden and their respective settings, and local landscape features • Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (Zone 1) and surface water drainage • Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc. | |
| <p>Reasons for selecting/discounting site</p> <p>Although located in the National Park this is an extension to an established site (also within the Park) and is within a proposed extension to the business park identified in local planning policy.</p> <p>This site could contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in the management of waste (Policy W02).</p> <p>No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.</p> <p>Therefore the site is a Preferred Site.</p> | |



FIELD TO NORTH OF DUTTONS FARM, UPPER POPPLETON

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference | MJP52 |
| Nature of Planning Proposal | |
| Extraction of clay as a proposed extension to former quarry | |
| Location of Land | Field SE5356 9513 to north of Duttons Farm Newlands Lane Upper Poppleton |
| (Grid Reference) | (454187 482029) |
| District | York |
| Mineral and Waste Planning Authority | City of York Council |
| Submitted by | Stephenson & Son (on behalf of Mr W R Smith) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and a pond in the former clay working |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | 200,000 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | 40,000 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | See WJP05 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | None proposed |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 6.28 |
| Estimated date of commencement | 2017 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 5 – 10 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access via Kettlewell Lane onto Newlands Lane then onto A59 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 2 – 4 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 10 – 14 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | Restoration to forestry and agriculture following completion of landfilling with inert waste (see WJP05) |
| Other information (if applicable) | There is no existing approved restoration plan for the site |

Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment

- Ecological issues, including impacts on: existing pond, protected species and potential habitats
- Potential impact on BMV agricultural land
- Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: archaeological remains
- Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: York and local landscape features
- Impacts on Green Belt
- Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small area of Zone 2), surface water drainage, potential impact of landfilling (as proposed via WJP05)
- Traffic impact, including: access and local roads
- Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc.

Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process

(where site is proposed for allocation)


- Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues
- Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land
- Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: heritage assets (archaeological remains), York's historic character and the Green Belt and their respective settings and local landscape features
- Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage
- Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads
- Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc.
- Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt

Reasons for selecting/discounting site

This site could contribute to the supply of engineering clay over the Plan period (Policy M13), and would not conflict with other strategic policies in the Plan.

No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.

Therefore the site is a **Preferred Site**.



FIELD TO NORTH OF DUTTONS FARM, UPPER POPPLETON

| | |
|--|--|
| Site reference WJP05 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Landfill and recycling of waste from construction industry | |
| Location of Land | Field SE5356 9513 to north of Duttons Farm Newlands Lane Upper Poppleton |
| (Grid Reference) | (454187 482029) |
| District | York |
| Waste Planning Authority | City of York Council |
| Submitted by | Stephenson & Son (on behalf of Mr W R Smith) |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Agriculture and a pond in the former clay working |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | See MJ52 |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | See MJ52 |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | 40,000 |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 6.28 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Prior to 2022 |
| Proposed Life of Site | 2022-2027 |
| Proposed Access | Existing access via Kettlewell Lane onto Newlands Lane then onto A59 |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 2 – 4 (estimate) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 10 – 14 (estimate) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet, but would be to forestry and agriculture |
| Other information (if applicable) | Site is also the MJ52 site area |

Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment

- Ecological issues, including impacts on: existing pond, protected species and potential habitats
- Potential impact on BMV agricultural land
- Heritage asset issues, including proximity to and impact on: Conservation Area
- Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including impacts on: York and local landscape features
- Impacts on Green Belt
- Water issues, including: hydrology, flood risk (mostly Zone 1, small area of Zone 2), surface water drainage, potential impact of landfilling (as proposed via WJP05)
- Traffic impact, including: access and local roads
- Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, etc.

Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process

(where site is proposed for allocation)


- Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues
- Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land
- Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: Conservation Area, York, local landscape features, Green Belt and their respective settings
- Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation and surface water drainage
- Design to include suitable arrangements for access and local roads
- Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the effects of noise and dust, etc.
- Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt

Reasons for selecting/discounting site

This site is proposed as the means to enable the restoration of the MJP52 site, and as such, would not conflict with the strategic policies in the Plan (Policies W01, WJP02 and W11). The site would need to be restored to a use compatible with the location in the Green Belt.

No overriding constraints have been identified at this stage through the site assessment process.

Therefore the site is a **Preferred Site** which would only be taken forward in association with MJP52.



HAREWOOD WHIN, RUFFORTH

| | |
|--|---|
| Site reference WJP11 | |
| Nature of Planning Proposal Retention of the following facilities beyond 2017 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • landfill, • open windrow composting, • recycling (including treatment, bulking and transfer) and liquid waste treatment • Energy from Waste (Biomass and Landfill Gas Utilization) • kerbside recycling and waste transfer operation and the construction of a new materials recycling facility and waste transfer station | |
| Location of Land | Harewood Whin Landfill Site Tinker Lane Rufforth York YO23 3RR |
| (Grid Reference) | (453992 451704) |
| District | City of York |
| Waste Planning Authority | City of York Council |
| Submitted by | Yorwaste Ltd |
| Landowner | Landowner supports submission |
| Current Use | Waste facility for landfill, open windrow composting, recycling (including treatment bulking and transfer) and liquid waste treatment |
| Minerals Estimated Reserve (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Minerals Annual Output (tonnes) | Not applicable |
| Waste Annual Tonnage import | Landfill: 30,000 Composting: 60,000 C&I Recycling: 150,000 Liquid Waste Treatment: 25,000 MRF: 50,000 Transfer: 60,000 (All above estimates for 2020) |
| Recycled Materials Annual output (tonnes) | 345,000 (based on imports) |
| Size of Site (hectares) | 103 |
| Estimated date of commencement | Continuation from 2017 |


| | |
|--|--|
| Proposed Life of Site | 15-20 years |
| Proposed Access | Existing access on Heightlands Lane onto the B1224, approximately 460m east of Rufforth |
| Light vehicles (two-way daily movements) | 30 (source: application details 13/00041/FULM) |
| HGVs (two-way daily movements) | 267 (source: application details 13/00041/FULM) |
| Possible site restoration and aftercare (if applicable) | No detailed design yet available as restoration plan is under review |
| Other information (if applicable) | The application for the construction of a Materials Recycling Facility and Waste Transfer Station (13/00041/FULM) has recently been withdrawn. |
| Key Sensitivities identified by Site Assessment | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological issues, including impacts on: river, protected species, MoD restrictions regarding restoration, potential habitats • Potential impact on BMV agricultural land • Heritage asset issues, including archaeological remains • Landscape and visual intrusion issues, including: village, local landscape features, landfill, effects on users of rights of way • Impacts on Green Belt • Water issues, including: hydrology, aquifer, flood risk (mostly Zone 1 and small area of Zone 3) and surface water drainage • Traffic impact, including: access and local roads • Amenity issues, including: noise, dust, effects on village and users of rights of way etc. | |
| Mitigation requirements identified through Site Assessment process | |
| (where site is proposed for allocation) | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design to mitigate impact on ecological issues • Design to mitigate impact on best and most versatile agricultural land • Design to mitigate impact on archaeological remains • Design of development and landscaping of site to mitigate impact on: village (including Listed Buildings), the historic City of York, Green Belt and local landscape features and their respective settings and users of rights of way • Design to include suitable flood risk assessment, attenuation, surface water drainage and protection of the aquifer • Design to include suitable arrangements for access to local roads • Appropriate arrangements for control of and mitigation of the cumulative impacts on air quality, and the effects of noise and dust, etc. • Appropriate restoration scheme using opportunities for habitat creation and to a use compatible with its location in the Green Belt and integrated with the local landscape character | |
| Reasons for selecting/discounting site | |
| <p>The WJP11 area already contributes to waste management capacity within the Plan area.</p> <p>Provision of support for the retention of existing uses and development of appropriate additional uses could further contribute to the provision of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and facilitate net self-sufficiency in capacity (Policy W03). The continuation of the landfill would maintain increasingly scarce capacity for</p> | |

non-inert, non-hazardous waste.

The location of the site within the Green Belt is a significant constraint which may limit the scale and nature of waste development that may be appropriate.

Further clarification is being sought from the submitter in relation to future development intentions for this site. Subject to this the preliminary conclusion is that the site is a **Preferred Site**.

CONFIDENTIAL DRAFT - NOT FOR PUBLICATION



Draft

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

Appendix 2
Safeguarded Sites

DRAFT

Policies S03, S04 and S05 deal with the safeguarding of individual waste sites, transport infrastructure and minerals ancillary infrastructure. This appendix provides maps of all the sites and infrastructure which are proposed to be safeguarded under these policies.

The maps show the boundary of the site. These boundaries, along with the associated buffer zones where relevant, will be shown on the Policies Map which has been produced in conjunction with the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan.

The questions below are included in the main Preferred Options document in the safeguarding section, three policies consider the different safeguarded sites and associated buffer zones:

- Policy S03 – Waste management facility safeguarding and associated buffer zone;
- Policy S04 – Transport infrastructure safeguarding and associated buffer zone;
- Policy S05 - Minerals ancillary infrastructure safeguarding and associated buffer zone.

Please provide any comments relating to the questions on the response form available on the website at www.northyorks.gov.uk/mwconsult.

Policy Ref S03

Q8. Is a buffer zone of 250m for the safeguarding of waste management facilities appropriate? If not what, if any, buffer zone would be preferable and why?

Policy Ref S04

Q9. Is a buffer zone of 100m for the safeguarding of transport infrastructure appropriate? If not what, if any, buffer zone would be preferable and why?

Policy Ref S05

Q10. Is a buffer zone of 100m for the safeguarding of minerals ancillary infrastructure appropriate? If not what, if any, buffer zone would be preferable and why?




Safeguarded waste sites

| Waste site name | District | Waste dealt with |
|--|---------------|---|
| Barlow Ash Disposal | Selby | Restricted/specialist landfill |
| Gale Common Ash Disposal Site | Selby | Restricted/specialist landfill |
| Brotherton Ash disposal site | Selby | Restricted/specialist landfill |
| Harewood Whin | York | Non-hazardous landfill, recycling, composting |
| Allerton Park | Harrogate | Non-hazardous landfill, incineration with energy recovery |
| Todds Waste Management | Hambleton | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Hazel Court | York | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Treacle Jug Farm | Harrogate | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Unit 8, Marsden Business Park | Harrogate | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Genta Environmental, Marsden Business Park | Harrogate | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Dean Road Depot | Scarborough | Transfer (hazardous) |
| Seamer Carr | Scarborough | Transfer (non-hazardous), composting, HWRC |
| Tofts Road, Kirkby Misperton | Ryedale | Transfer (non-hazardous) |
| Halton East Works | Craven | Transfer (non-hazardous) |
| Whitby recycling | Scarborough | Transfer (non-hazardous) |
| Claro Road | Harrogate | Transfer (non-hazardous) |
| Hessay Recycling | York | Transfer (non-hazardous) |
| Tancred Transfer Station | Richmondshire | Transfer (non-hazardous) composting |
| Dalkia Bio Energy Ltd | Selby | Energy Recovery |
| Southmoor Energy Centre | Selby | Energy recovery |
| North Selby Mine | York | Anaerobic Digestion |
| Arbre site, Eggborough | Selby | Energy recovery |
| Clapham Lodge | Hambleton | Anaerobic Digestion |
| Park Barn Farm | Hambleton | Anaerobic Digestion |
| The Maltings | Selby | Composting |
| Knapton Quarry | Ryedale | Composting |
| Sandhutton Airfield | Hambleton | Composting |
| Catterick Bridge | Richmondshire | HWRC |
| Gatherley Road | Richmondshire | HWRC |
| Leyburn | Richmondshire | HWRC |
| Leeming Bar | Hambleton | HWRC |
| Stokesley | Hambleton | HWRC |
| Whitby | Scarborough | HWRC |
| Burnistion | Scarborough | HWRC |
| Malton/Norton | Ryedale | HWRC |
| Caucklands/Thornton-le-Dale | Ryedale | HWRC |
| Northallerton | Hambleton | HWRC |
| Stonefall, Harrogate | Harrogate | HWRC |
| Wombledon | Ryedale | HWRC |
| Sowerby, Thirsk | Hambleton | HWRC |
| Skibeden, Skipton | Craven | HWRC |
| Ripon | Harrogate | HWRC |
| Settle | Craven | HWRC |

| | | |
|----------------|-----------|------|
| Tadcaster | Selby | HWRC |
| Selby | Selby | HWRC |
| Tholthorpe | Hambleton | HWRC |
| West Harrogate | Harrogate | HWRC |
| Towthorpe | York | HWRC |

Key

 Line showing boundary or layout of site


DRAFT




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017948, 2015.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946-2005.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings 100017946, 2018.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2015.





Site Name: Todds Waste Management


Facility Type: Transfer Station (non-hazardous)



Mission and Waste Jettis Plan
 County 1568, Northallerton
 North Yorkshire, TS7 6AH

Subsidiary: Waste Gen
 Date: 01-2018
 Corporation & Analysis: MW.B*

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey copyright with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946-2005.



Site Name: Hazel Court, York


Facility Type: Transfer Station (hazardous)




Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
 County Hall, Northminster
 South Yorkshire, S1 2 5AH

Responsible: Waven Glen
 Date: 03/2018
 Completion & Analysis: MWS*


This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may also be a prosecution offence under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.




This report is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2008



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946-2018.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright, Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946-2018.



This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under the terms of the Ordnance Survey Licence. This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under the terms of the Ordnance Survey Licence. This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under the terms of the Ordnance Survey Licence.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2015.



Site Name: Tofts Road, Kirby Misperton


Facility Type: Transfer Station (non-hazardous)




Waste and Waste Jobs Plan
County Hall, Northcliffe
North Yorkshire DL7 9AM

Subsidiary Waste Sites
Date: 01/2015
Compilation & Analysis: MWS

This site is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction will bear Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017446, 2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2010.



Site Name: Whitby Recycling Facility, Whitby


Facility Type: Transfer Station (non-hazardous)



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County 1965, North Yorkshire
North Yorkshire, 19.7.2011

Subsidiary: Waste Plan
Date: 01.2011
Compilation & Analysis: MWJ

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100011946/2008



Site Name: Claro Road, Harrogate


Facility Type: Transfer Station (non-hazardous)



Rooster and Wools Joint Plan
 County Hall, Northcliffe
 North Yorkshire, HG7 2AH

Subsidiary Waste Plan
 Date: 01/2018
 Consultant & Author: MWS*

This plan is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or other proceedings. 100013944-2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction prohibited. Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings 100013944, 2015.



Site Name: Tancrod, Brompton on Swale

Facility Type: Composting, Transfer Station (non-hazardous)




Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hill, Northampton
Northampton, NN1 7AM

Subsidiary Waste Plan
Date: 01-2015
Compilation & Analysis: MW/S*


This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2005.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946 2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2008.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946 2015.



Site Name: Former ARBRE Powerstation, Selby Road, Eggborough


Facility Type: Energy Recovery



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northallerton
North Yorkshire TS23 3AH

Responsible: Waste Gen
Draw: 05.2015
Completion & Analysis: MJA*


This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings 100017846, 2015.




This report reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2018



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946/2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100011946,2015.



Site Name: Knapton Quarry, Malton


Facility Type: Composting



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northampton
North Yorkshire - TN 7 6N1

Geology/Drilling/White Sites
Date: 01/2018
Composition & Analysis: MW3*

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 20021846-2018.



Site Name: Sandhutton Air Field, Sandhutton

Facility Type: Composting



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan,
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire, DL7 9AJ

Subsidiary Waste Plan
Date: 01/2015
Consultation & Analysis: MW8*

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100011946, 2015.



Site Name: Catterick Bridge HWRC, Catterick


Facility Type: HWRC



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hill, Northallerton
North Yorkshire YO21 2AH

Subsidiary Waste Plan
Date: 01/2015
Completion & Analysis: MWSP

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under licence of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction elsewhere is a criminal offence and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings (100017344/2015).



Site Name: Gatherley Road HWRC, Brompton on Swale


Facility Type: HWRC




Ministry and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northallerton
North Yorkshire YO21 7JH

Subsidiary Waste Plan
Date: 05/2015
Completion & Analysis: MJA*

This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey copyright with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may be a criminal offence under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction, copying, reuse or any other means is prohibited. Any reuse in printed or electronic form without the permission of Ordnance Survey is prohibited. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for any consequences arising from the use of the information. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for any consequences arising from the use of the information. Ordnance Survey is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for any consequences arising from the use of the information.



Site Name: Leeming Bar HWRC, Tutn Road, Leeming Bar

Facility Type: HWRC




Almonds and Wares Joint Plan
County Hall, Northampton
South Westlake, NN7 7SA

Subsiding: Waste Gen
Date: 01/2015
Cooperation & Analysis: MWS*


This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013944/2015.




This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013944 2015



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under a licence of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013941/2010



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey under licence of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017046, 2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey's original with the permission of Controller, Surveyors-General of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office - Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction of this map is prohibited and may result in prosecution or civil proceedings. 100213443,2005



Site Name: Caulklands HWRC, Thornton le Dale

Facility Type: HWRC




Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
 Claxby Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire DL7 5AH

Background: Waste Sites
 Date: 01-2015
 Completion & Analysis: MWS*

This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey mappings with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013944, 2015



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings 100013949, 2015



Site Name: Stonefall, Weatherby Road, Harrogate

Facility Type: HWRC



Minerals and Waste Approval
County Hall, Northcliffe
North Yorkshire (LA 7 9AA)

Geographic Information System
Date: 01-2018
Completion & Analysis: MWB

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey data with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction of this data is prohibited and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100011846/2018



Site Name: Wombledon HWRC, Cockerill Lane, Wombledon


Facility Type: HWRC




Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northallerton
North Yorkshire TS20 7SN

Subsequent Waste Site
Date: 01/2015
Completion & Analysis: MWS*

This report reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction prohibited. Crown copyright and any other protection or rights preserved. 100017846, 2015.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013146, 2015



Site Name: Skipton HWRC, Skibeden

Facility Type: HWRC



Minerals and Waste Joint Plan
 Crayke Hall, Northcliffe
 North Yorkshire YO17 6AH

Subsiding: Waste Sites
 Date: 01/2015
 Coordination & Analysis: MW/S*

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017846-2015.



Site Name: Ripon HWRC, Dallamires Lane, Ripon


Facility Type: HWRC



Moore and Wain Joint Plan
County Hall, Northallerton
North Yorkshire, TN 7 5AH

Prepared by: Wainplan
Date: 03/2015
Completion & Analysis: MWSP

This plan is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Simultaneous reproduction in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey is prohibited.



Site Name: Settle HWRC, Sowerth Field, Settle


Facility Type: HWRC




Waters and Waste Joint Plan
County Hall, Northampton
Northampton NN1 2BA

Responsible Waste Team
Date: 01/2018
Completion & Analysis: MWJ


This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013943, 2005.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or other proceedings. 100013944/2015




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Simultaneous reproduction in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey is prohibited.




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey records with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2005.





This data is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100013944/2015




This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. 100017946, 2015.


Safeguarded transport infrastructure


| Site name | District | Type of infrastructure |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Kellingley Colliery | Selby | Railhead |
| Eggborough Power Station | Selby | Railway sidings |
| Selby Depot | Selby | Railhead |
| Great Heck | Selby | Railhead |
| Drax Power Station | Selby | Railway sidings |
| Hellifield | Selby | Railway sidings |
| Gascoigne Wood | Selby | Railway sidings |
| Milford | Selby | Railway sidings |
| Redmire | Richmondshire | Railway sidings and railhead |
| Boulby Potash Mine | North York Moors National Park Authority | Railhead |
| River Ouse, nr Drax Power Station | Selby | Wharf |
| Westfield Foods, Selby | Selby | Wharf |
| Kellingley Colliery | Selby | Wharf |
| Whitby Port | Scarborough | Port |
| Potter Group, Selby | Selby | Wharf |
| BOCM, Olympia Mill, Selby | Selby | Wharf |
| Heck Lane, Great Heck | Selby | Wharf |
| Queen Staithes Wharf | York | Wharf |
| Wharf on Terry's Avenue | York | Wharf |
| Viking Shipping Wharf, Selby | Selby | Wharf |


Key

 Line showing boundary or layout of site













Site: Great Heck
Facility: Railhead


Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Map data reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey and may be used in print and/or on-line publications. North Yorkshire County Council, 2012. Licence No. 1000171000



Business & Environmental Services,
 11115 Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AH
 Infrastructure Siting/Planning
 Date: 12/2012
 Consultant & Author: MWSP


















Site: Drax
Facility: Wharf

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 North Yorkshire County Council, 2012 Licence No: 10007940


N

Business & Environmental Services
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northcliffe
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AL

Infrastructure Subgrouping
 Date: 10/2013
 Compiler & Analyst: BWDF






Site: Kellingley
Facility: Wharf


Approved for the Calder River Catchment with the permission of the Yorkshire Water Authority's Yorkshire Office, 2 Upper Crayke Street, Wakefield WF1 1JG. This plan is for the use of the Yorkshire Water Authority and is not to be used for any other purpose without the written consent of the Yorkshire Water Authority. Yorkshire Water Authority, 2001, Licence No. 010211860.





Drawn by
Environmental Services
South Yorkshire
County Council
County Hall, Westcliffe
South Yorkshire, WF1 4JH


Infrastructure Engineering
Date: 11/2012
Caldwell & Goshall: 0850

















Site: Viking Shipping Wharf
Facility: Wharf

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction changes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 North Yarmouth County Council, 2015 & revised Nov. 2020/2021




Business & Environmental Services,
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AN


Information safeguarding
 Date: 04.2015
 Consultant & Analyst: 1001 P


Safeguarded minerals ancillary infrastructure


| Site name | District | Type of infrastructure |
|---|---------------|------------------------|
| Snaygill Industrial Estate, Skipton | Craven | Concrete batching |
| Standard Way Industrial Estate, Northallerton | Hambleton | Concrete batching |
| Thirsk Industrial Estate | Hambleton | Concrete batching |
| Eldmire Mill, Dalton, Thirsk | Hambleton | Concrete batching |
| Ure Bank Top, Ripon | Harrogate | Concrete batching |
| The Old Station Yard, Milby, Boroughbridge | Harrogate | Concrete batching |
| Main Street, Wath | Harrogate | Concrete batching |
| Gatherley Road, Brompton on Swale | Richmondshire | Concrete batching |
| Walkerville Industrial Estate, Catterick | Richmondshire | Concrete batching |
| Showfield Lane, Malton | Ryedale | Concrete batching |
| Fairfield Way, Whitby | Scarborough | Concrete batching |
| Barry's Lane, Seamer Road, Scarborough | Scarborough | Concrete batching |
| Hunmanby Industrial Estate, Filey | Scarborough | Concrete batching |
| Cochranes Shipyard, Selby | Selby | Concrete batching |
| The Old Quarry, Long Lane, Great Heck | Selby | Concrete batching |
| Bawtry Road, Selby | Selby | Concrete batching |
| Outgang Lane, Osbaldkirk | York | Concrete batching |
| Pigeon Cote Industrial Estate, Monks Cross | York | Concrete batching |
| Auster Road, Clifton Moor | York | Concrete batching |
| Elvington Industrial Estate | York | Concrete batching |
| Hazel Court, James Street | York | Concrete batching |
| Halton East Quarry | Craven | Roadstone coating |
| Selby Asphalt and recycling centre | Selby | Roadstone coating |
| Pickhill, Thirsk | Hambleton | Block making |
| Bridge Road, Brompton on Swale | Richmondshire | Block making |
| Ricall Airfield | Selby | Block making |
| Green Lane, Great Heck Heck | Selby | Block making |
| Drax Power Station | Selby | Block making |
| Knapton Power Station, East Knapton, Malton | Ryedale | Gas processing |
| Hurrell Lane, Processing Plant, Thornton-le-Dale, Pickering | Ryedale | Gas processing |

Key

 Line showing boundary and layout of site







Site Name: Thirsk Industrial Estate


Facility Type: Concrete Manufacture

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey Mapping with the permission of the Controller of the Map, Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. (Reproduction, reproduction, in whole or in part, is prohibited without the prior written permission of the Controller of the Map.)
 North Yorkshire County Council, 2012 License No. 30017946



Business & Environmental Services,
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northcliffe
 North Yorkshire, DL7 9AB

Information Engineering
 0184 - 35-2012
 Creations & Analysis - M&EP



Site Name :Eldmire Mill, Dalton Airfield


Facility Type : Concrete Manufacture


Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Mapwork reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence No. 100017400. North Yorkshire County Council 2012 Licence No. 000017400





Business & Environmental Services,
North Yorkshire
County Council
County Hall, Northcliffe Road,
North Yorkshire, DL7 8AN


Information Regarding:
Date: 05-2017
Completion & Analysis: MNDP













Site: Walkerville Industrial Estate
Facility Type: Concrete Manufacture

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written permission of Ordnance Survey. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey Limited. Ordnance Survey is a registered trademark of Ordnance Survey Limited.

Business & Environmental Services
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northcliffe Road,
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AN

Information Zoning and
 Date: 05/2011
 Compliance & Analysis: MWH







Site: Fairfield Way, Stainsacre Lane
Facility Type: Concrete Manufacture


Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. All Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 North Yorkshire County Council, 2014 License No. 000017000


N
 Business & Environmental Services
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Westborough
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AH


Administrative Zoning
 Date: 05-2014
 Compliance & Analysis: SHMF
















Site: Outgang Lane, Oswaldkirk
Facility: Concrete Plant


Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.


Business & Environmental Services,
North Yorkshire
County Council
County Hall, Northallerton,
North Yorkshire, DL7 8AN


Information Requesting:
0441 36 2111
Consultation & Analysis: 351219



















Site: Pickhill, Thirsk
Facility: Block making

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 North Yorkshire County Council, 2015. 448892-99, 500017998

Business & Environmental Services,
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Wetherby, North Yorkshire, DL7 8AP

Information safeguarding
 Date: 04.2015
 Condition & Analysis: IM/P





Site: Ricall Airfield
Facility: Block making

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction is illegal. Crown Copyright will only lead to prosecution if you know.

N

Business & Environmental Services,
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Ryedale, York
 North Yorkshire, DL7 8AR

Information safeguarding:
 Date: 04-2015
 Consultant & Analyst: MN/P




Site: Green Lane, Great Heck
Facility: Block making

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
 North: Ordnance Survey, 2015. Licence No. 100017006


N

Business & Environmental Services,
 North Yorkshire
 County Council
 County Hall, Northallerton,
 North Yorkshire, DL7 6AR

Information Relinquishing
 Date: 04/2015
 Consultant & Analyst: MSLP







Draft

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

Appendix 3 Monitoring Framework

DRAFT

The Planning and Compulsory Act 2004, as amended by the Localism Act 2011, requires local planning authorities to prepare reports containing information on how plan production is progressing and, in relation to adopted plans, the extent to which policies set out in those plans are being achieved. This report must be made available to the public.

Monitoring the Plan will enable the three authorities to see whether the Plan is being implemented as intended or whether any action needs to be taken to ensure that the Plan is being delivered. It will also enable the authorities to respond to any external influences that may arise subsequent to the Plan being adopted which may affect its implementation.

There is also a requirement to monitor the 'significant environmental effects' of the Plan under the European Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive. Significant environmental effects will be determined through carrying out Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) on the draft policies at later stages of Plan production. Whilst it is intended that the Sustainability Appraisal process will lead to any environmental effects being avoided, mitigated or minimised, the nature of minerals and waste developments means that it is likely that some effects will remain. Indicators to monitor these will be proposed through the Sustainability Appraisal process.

Minerals planning authorities are also required to produce an annual Local Aggregate Assessment which shows the rolling average of ten years sales data and other relevant local information, and an assessment of all supply options. The three Joint Plan authorities, along with the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority, produced their first Local Aggregate Assessment in March 2013 and a second version being submitted to the Aggregates Working Party for Yorkshire and Humber in 2015. It is intended that this will be updated annually and will be produced as a separate document to the monitoring report, although elements could be repeated within the monitoring report.

Whilst the Plan is being produced jointly, there is no requirement to produce a joint monitoring report. City of York Council and the North York Moors National Park Authority must also report on progress and implementation relating to other areas of planning, such as housing and employment developments, whereas North Yorkshire County Council only has responsibility for minerals and waste planning. However, it is the intention of the three Authorities that monitoring and reporting of indicators relevant to the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan will be carried out in a consistent way.

There are limitations as to what can be monitored. Some published data is not produced at planning authority level and it may be that data for North Yorkshire County or for the sub-region is the 'best fit'. Where data is reliant upon surveys being undertaken by the planning authorities, the quality of data depends upon the response rate and the accuracy of the response.

Preferred Options policies have been developed and are presented in the main consultation document. Each of the policies needs a means of being monitored to ensure that objectives and targets are being met by the Plan. The following table shows how the Plan will be monitored in relation to its policies. The results of the monitoring will be reported in the 'Authorities Monitoring Report' (AMR). Other areas which are covered by the AMR include the Duty to Cooperate, sales and reserves of minerals, contextual information, waste arisings, throughput at waste facilities, details of new planning permissions for mineral or waste development, details about the number of mineral and waste facilities which have been closed, abandoned or mothballed and the number of mineral and waste enforcement issues which have occurred.

The table provides information on:

- Policy number and description, including which objectives it is linked to
- Indicator – how the implementation of the policy is to be measured
- Target – aim or measurement of the policy
- Method – where the information will be gathered from
- Trigger point – threshold for possible for possible review, correction or mitigation
- Action required if the trigger point is hit.

The question below is included in the main Preferred Options document; please provide any comments relating to the question on the response form available on the website at www.northyorks.gov.uk/mwconsult .



Q4) Do you agree with the monitoring indicators detailed in the monitoring framework in this Appendix? If not how can they be improved?

DRAFT

Monitoring of implementation of policies in Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| M01: Broad geographical approach to supply of aggregates <i>Linked to Objectives 6, 7 and 9</i> <i>SA Objectives 3, 8 and 12</i> | 1 | Percentage of approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | More than 3 applications approved go against policy in any one year. | Review of Plan |
| M02: Provision of sand and gravel <i>Linked to Objective 5</i> <i>SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 2 | Maintenance of 7 year landbank based on assumed supply rate | Landbank exceeds 7 years | LAA, AWP and annual monitoring | If landbank falls below 7 years. | Review of site allocations |
| M03: Overall distribution of sand and gravel provision <i>Linked to Objectives 5, 6 and 7</i> <i>SA Objective 3, 8, 12 and 13</i> | 3 | Distribution of sand and gravel to be in line with policy | Sand and gravel provision of 50% Southwards distribution 45% Northwards distribution 5% sand | LAA, AWP and annual monitoring | If provision does not adhere to southwards / northwards split by more than 10% | If Provision is not met by southwards / northwards split will come from both areas in combination. |
| M04: Landbanks for sand and gravel | 4 | Maintenance of 7 year landbank based on assumed supply rate | Landbank exceeds 7 years. | LAA, AWP and annual monitoring | If landbank falls below 7 years for 2 consecutive | Review of site allocations |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | | | | | years | |
| M05: Provision of crushed rock <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 5 | Maintenance of 10 year landbank based on assumed supply rate. | Landbank exceeds 10 years | LAA, AWP and annual monitoring | If landbank falls below 10 years | Review of site allocations |
| M06: Maintenance of landbanks for crushed rock <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 6 | Maintenance of 10 year landbank based on assumed supply rate. | Maintain a 10 year landbank for crushed rock Landbank exceeds 10 years | LAA, AWP and annual monitoring | If landbank falls below 10 years for 2 consecutive years. | Review of site allocations |
| M07: Meeting concreting sand and gravel requirements <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 6 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 7 | Sufficient permitted reserves are available through site allocations to meet forecast requirements. | Maintenance of 7 year landbank | LAA and monitoring of planning application decisions | Landbank falls below 7 years and allocations are not available to make up the shortfall in permitted reserves | Review of site allocations. |
| M08: Meeting building sand requirements <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 6 SA Objective 8, 12</i> | 8 | Sufficient permitted reserves are available through site allocations to meet forecast requirements. | Maintenance of 7 year landbank | LAA and monitoring of planning application decisions | Landbank falls below 7 years and allocations are not available to make up the shortfall in | Review of site allocations. |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>and 13</i> | | | | | permitted reserves | |
| M09: Meeting crushed rock requirements <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 6 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 9 | Sufficient permitted reserves are available through site allocations to meet forecast requirements. | Maintenance of 10 year landbank | LAA and monitoring of planning application decisions | Landbank falls below 10 years and allocations are not available to make up the shortfall in permitted reserves | Review of site allocations. |
| M10: Unallocated extension to existing aggregates quarries <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 10 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of approvals for unallocated extensions to existing quarries are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M11: Supply of alternatives to land won primary aggregates <i>Linked to Objective 4 and 6 SA Objective 8 and 9</i> | 11 | The proportion of secondary or recycled material used as an alternative to land won aggregates | The proportion of alternatives to land won primary aggregates used each year stays the same or increases. | LAA, annual monitoring | If the proportion of secondary or recycled material used as an alternative to land won aggregates falls for two consecutive years | Review of relevant policy |
| M12: Continuity of supply of silica | 12 | Size of landbank for silica sand at Burythorpe to be in | Maintain a landbank of 10 | Annual monitoring and evidence | If landbank at Burythorpe drops | Review of site allocations |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| sand <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 6 SA Objective 8</i> | | line with National Policy | years for silica sand at Burythorpe in line with National Policy. | base. | below 10 years for 2 consecutive years. | |
| M13: Continuity of supply of clay <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 6 SA Objective 8</i> | 13 | Level of supply required for each clay manufacturing facility. | Reserves available to enable a 25 year supply of clay for each manufacturing facility in line with National Policy | Annual monitoring | If level of supply drops below 25 years for 2 consecutive years for any of the facilities. | Review of relevant policy and site allocations. |
| M14: Incidental working of clay in association with other minerals <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8</i> | 14 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy. |
| M15: Continuity of supply of building stone <i>Linked to Objectives 3, 6 and 9 SA Objective 8, 10 and 13</i> | 15 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of building stone approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy and site allocations. |
| M16: Overall spatial policy for hydrocarbon development. <i>Linked to</i> | 16 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of hydrocarbon approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Objective 5, 6, 9, 10 and 12 SA Objective 3 and 8</i> | | | | | policy | |
| M17: Exploration and appraisal of hydrocarbon resources <i>Linked to Objective 5, 6, 9, 10 and 12 SA Objective 8</i> | 17 | <i>Applies to conventional and unconventional gas</i> Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of hydrocarbon exploration and appraisal approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources <i>Linked to Objectives 5, 6, 9, 10 and 12 SA Objective 3 and 8</i> | 18 | <i>Applies to conventional and unconventional gas.</i> Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of hydrocarbon production and processing approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M19: Carbon gas and storage <i>Linked to Objective 9, 10, 11 and 12 SA Objective 6 and 8</i> | 19 | <i>Applies only to carbon and gas storage</i> Approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of carbon and gas approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M20: Continuity of supply of deep coal | 20 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of deep coal approvals are consistent with | Monitoring of planning application | If more than 3 applications approved in any | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8 and 12</i> | | | policy | decisions | one year go against this policy | |
| M21: Shallow coal <i>Linked to Objective 5 and 9 SA Objective 8</i> | 21 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of shallow coal approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M22: Disposal of colliery spoil <i>Linked to Objective 2, 4, 6 and 8 SA Objective 8 and 9</i> | 22 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of disposal of colliery spoil approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M23: Potash and polyhalite supply <i>Linked to Objective 2, 4, , 6, and 8 SA Objective 8, 12 and 13</i> | 23 | Percentage of approved application meet criteria of the policy | 100% of potash approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M24: Supply of gypsum <i>Linked to Objective 5 SA Objective 8</i> | 24 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of gypsum approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| M25: Supply of | 25 | Percentage of approved | 100% of vein | Monitoring of | If more than 3 | Review of |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| vein minerals <i>Linked to Objective 5 and 9 SA Objective 8</i> | | applications meet criteria of the policy | minerals approvals are consistent with policy | planning application decisions | applications approved in any one year go against this policy | relevant policy |
| M26: Borrow pits <i>Linked to Objectives 5 and 7 SA Objective 8 and 12</i> | 26 | Percentage of approved applications meet criteria of the policy | 100% of borrow pit approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy. |
| W01: Moving waste up the waste hierarchy <i>Linked to Objective 1 SA Objective 9, 12 and 17</i> | 27 | Percentage of approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year go against this policy. | Review of relevant policy. |
| W02: Strategic role of the Plan area in the management of waste <i>Linked to Objectives 2, 4, 6 and 7 SA Objective 9, 12 and 17</i> | 28 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year per annum go against this policy. | Review of relevant policy. |
| W03: Meeting waste management | 29 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application | If more than 3 applications approved in any | Review of policy and site allocations. |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| capacity requirements – Local Authority Collected Waste <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 6 and 7</i> <i>SA Objective 9, 12 and 17</i> | | | | decisions, annual monitoring | one year per annum go against this policy. | |
| W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements – Commercial and Industrial waste (including hazardous C&I waste) <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 6 and 7</i> <i>SA Objective 9 and 12</i> | 30 | Approved applications are consistent with policy and meet capacity requirements identified. | 100% approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring and monitoring of capacity requirements | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year per annum go against this policy or if fail to meet annual capacity requirements by 20% over a three year period | Review of policy and site allocations |
| W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements – Construction, Demolition and | 31 | Approved applications are consistent with policy and meet capacity requirements identified. | 100% approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring and monitoring of capacity | If more than 3 applications approved in any one year per annum go against this policy or if fail to | Review of policy and site allocations. |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Excavation waste (including CD&E waste) <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 SA Objective 8, 9 and 12</i> | | | | requirements | meet annual capacity requirements by 20% over a three year period | |
| W06: Managing agricultural waste <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2 and 7 SA Objective 9</i> | 32 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications in any one year go against this policy. | Review of relevant policy. |
| W07: Managing low level (non-nuclear) radioactive waste <i>Linked to Objectives 2 SA Objective 9</i> | 33 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications in any one year go against this policy. | Review of relevant policy |
| W08: Managing waste water (sewage sludge) <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 6 and 7 SA Objective 9 and 15</i> | 34 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications in any one year go against this policy. | Review of relevant policy |
| W09: Managing power station ash | 35 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with | Monitoring of planning | If more than 3 approved | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 SA Objective 9</i> | | | policy. | application decisions, annual monitoring | applications in any one year go against this policy. | |
| W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity <i>Linked to Objectives 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 SA Objective 3 and 5</i> | 36 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| W11: Waste site identification principles <i>Linked to Objective 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 SA Objective 3, 5 and 9</i> | 37 | Approved applications are consistent with policy | 100% approvals are consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning application decisions, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| I01: Minerals and waste transport infrastructure <i>Linked to Objectives 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11 SA Objective 3 and 8</i> | 38 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% of Minerals and waste development where average movement of more than 250,000tpa of material to | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum go against any part of the policy. | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | <p>demonstrate that methods of non-road transport have been considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% applications adhere to other criteria in the policy | | | |
| I02: Locations for ancillary minerals infrastructure <i>Linked to Objective 6, 7 and 8</i> SA Objective 3 and 8 | 39 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| S01: Safeguarding mineral resources <i>Linked to Objective 3</i> SA Objective 8 | 40 | Percentage of approved applications that do not have an adverse effect on the Mineral Safeguarding Areas for sand and gravel as identified on the policies map | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications within Mineral Consultation Areas, annual monitoring | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| S02: Developments proposed within Minerals Safeguarding | 41 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| areas <i>Linked to Objective 3 SA Objective 8</i> | | | | | one year go against this policy | |
| S03: Waste management facility safeguarding <i>Linked to Objective 2, 6 and 7 SA Objective 8</i> | 42 | Percentage of approved development proposals that do not have an adverse effect on the Safeguarding Areas for waste sites as identified on the policies map | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications within Consultation Areas for waste, annual monitoring | If more than 3 approved applications per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| S04: Transport infrastructure safeguarding <i>Linked to Objective 3, 7 and 8 SA Objective 8</i> | 43 | Percentage of approved development proposals that do not have an adverse effect on the Mineral Safeguarding Areas for transport infrastructure as identified on the policies map | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications within Consultation Areas for transport infrastructure safeguarding, annual monitoring | If more than 3 Proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| S05: Minerals ancillary infrastructure safeguarding <i>Linked to Objective 3, 6 and 7 SA Objective 8</i> | 44 | Percentage of approved development proposals that do not have an adverse effect on the safeguarded minerals infrastructure for transport infrastructure as identified on the policies map | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications within Consultation Areas for minerals ancillary infrastructure safeguarding, annual monitoring | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| S06: Consideration of | 45 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet | 100% of relevant minerals and | Monitoring of planning | If more than 3 relevant | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| applications in Consultation Areas <i>Linked to Objective 3 SA Objective 8</i> | | criteria of the policy | waste proposals consistent with policy. | applications and supporting information | proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | |
| D01: Presumption in favour of sustainable minerals and waste development <i>Linked to Objectives 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 SA Objective 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17</i> | 46 | Percentage of approved minerals and waste proposals consistent with this policy | 100% of mineral and waste approvals consistent with this policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 approvals in any one year are not consistent with this policy. | Review relevant policy |
| D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts <i>Linked to Objectives 9, 10 and 12 SA Objective 4, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15 and 17</i> | 47 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of approvals which may have an impact on local amenity and local business are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning application decisions | If more than 3 proposals approved in any one year which may have an impact on local amenity and local business go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D03: Transport of | 48 | Percentage of approved | 100% of relevant | Monitoring of | If more than 3 | Review of |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|--|------------------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts <i>Linked to Objectives 6, 7, 8 and 11</i> <i>SA Objective 3, 6,</i> | | proposals meet criteria of the policy | approvals are consistent with policy | planning applications and supporting information | relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | relevant policy |
| D04: North York Moor National Park and the AONBs <i>Linked to Objective 6, 9 and 10</i> <i>SA Objective 11</i> | 49 | Percentage of approved proposals within North York Moors National Park and AONBs meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D05: Minerals and waste development in the Green Belt <i>Linked to Objective 9 and 12</i> <i>SA Objective 11</i> | 50 | Percentage of approved proposals within the Green Belt meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D06: Landscape <i>Linked to Objective 9 and 12</i> <i>SA Objective 11</i> | 51 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | policy | |
| D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity <i>Linked to Objective 9, 11 and 12</i> <i>SA Objective 1</i> | 52 | Percentage of approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant approvals are consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D08: Historic environment <i>Linked to Objective 9</i> <i>SA Objective 10</i> | 53 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy | Monitoring of planning applications | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D09: Water environment <i>Linked to Objective 9, 10 and 11</i> <i>SA Objective 2 and 16</i> | 54 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D10: Reclamation and afteruse <i>Linked to Objective 9, 10, 11 and 12</i> <i>SA Objective 1, 2,</i> | 55 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go | Review of relevant policy |

| Policy, (including link to objectives) | Indicator Number | Indicator | Target | Method | Trigger Point | Action Required if Trigger Point hit |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 | | | | | against this policy | |
| D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development <i>Linked to Objectives 9, 10, 11 and 12 SA Objective 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16 and 17</i> | 56 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D12: Protection of agricultural land and soils. <i>Linked to Objectives 9, 10, 11 and 12 SA Objective 5</i> | 57 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |
| D13: Consideration of applications in Development High Risk Areas <i>Linked to Objective 9 and 10 SA Objective 15</i> | 58 | Percentage of relevant approved proposals meet criteria of the policy | 100% of relevant minerals and waste proposals consistent with policy. | Monitoring of planning applications and supporting information | If more than 3 relevant proposals approved per annum in any one year go against this policy | Review of relevant policy |

DRAFT

Draft

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

Appendix 4

Saved policies superseded
by Preferred Options
policies

DRAFT

The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 states that where a new policy in a local plan replaces a policy in an adopted development plan, it must state that fact and identify the superseded policy. Within the Joint Plan area a number of existing plans contain policies for minerals and waste. These are:

For North Yorkshire County Council - 'saved' policies in the Minerals Local Plan 1997 and Waste Local Plan 2006 which are available to view at <http://www.northyorks.gov.uk/article/26340/Local-plans>

For City of York Council - there is no adopted local plan adopted Local Plan but the City of York Draft Local Plan (incorporating the Fourth set of changes, April 2005) is currently used as the basis for development management decisions, this is available to view at https://www.york.gov.uk/info/20051/planning_policy/683/development_control_-_local_plan_2005 . Only some of the policies relate to minerals and waste specifically.

For the North York Moors National Park Authority - the adopted Core Strategy and Development Policies November 2008, which include two policies relating specifically to minerals and waste, this is available to view at <http://www.northyorkmoors.org.uk/planning/framework> .

The following table details the relevant saved or current policies together with the preferred policies within the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan with which it is proposed to replace them.

Minerals – North Yorkshire Minerals Local Plan 1997

| Saved policy | Text of saved policy | Replaced by new policy | Preferred Options policy |
|---|--|------------------------|---|
| Chapter 3 - Mineral Extraction and Resource Protection | | | |
| 3/2 - Preferred Areas | In order to maintain landbanks of permitted reserves, proposals for aggregates mineral working in Preferred Areas will be regarded as acceptable in principle. Satisfactory details will have to be submitted before planning permission can be granted | Y | M07: Meeting concreting sand and gravel requirements M08: Meeting building sand requirements M09: Meeting crushed rock requirements |
| 3/3 - Areas of Search | Planning permission may be granted for aggregate mineral working within Areas of Search where the Mineral Planning Authority is satisfied that sufficient mineral cannot be obtained from the Preferred Areas. | Y | M07: Meeting concreting sand and gravel requirements M08: Meeting building sand requirements M09: Meeting crushed rock requirements |
| 3/4 - Other Areas | Outside Preferred Areas and Areas of Search, planning permission for aggregate mineral working will normally only be granted for borrow pits and small-scale extensions to existing sites. | Y | M10: Unallocated extensions to existing quarries M26: Borrow Pits |
| Chapter 4 – Environmental Protection | | | |
| 4/1 - Determination of Planning Applications | In considering an application for mining operations, the Mineral Planning Authority will need to be satisfied that, where appropriate:- a) the mineral deposit on the application site has been fully investigated; b) the siting and scale of the proposal is acceptable; c) the proposed method and programme of working would minimise the impact of the proposal; d) landscaping and screening has been designed to effectively mitigate the impact of the proposal; e) other environmental and amenity safeguards would effectively mitigate the impact of the | Y | D01: Presumption in favour of sustainable minerals and waste development D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts D10: Reclamation and afteruse |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | <p>proposal;</p> <p>f) the proposals and programme for restoration are acceptable and would allow a high standard of restoration to be achieved;</p> <p>g) a high standard of aftercare and management of the land could be achieved;</p> <p>h) the proposed transport links to move the mineral to market are acceptable; and</p> <p>l) any cumulative impact on the local area resulting from the proposal is acceptable.</p> | | D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development |
| 4/4 - Heritage Coasts | Within Heritage Coast areas proposals for mining operations, and the associated depositing of mineral waste, will only be permitted where there would not be an unacceptable effect on the natural environment and landscape. | Y | D06: Landscape |
| 4/6a - Nature Conservation and Habitat Protection – Local | In making decisions on planning applications, the Mineral Planning Authority will protect the nature conservation or geological interest of Local Nature Reserves and of other sites having a nature conservation interest or importance, and will have regard to other wildlife habitats. | Y | D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity |
| 4/10 - Water Protection | Proposals for mining operations and the associated depositing of mineral waste will only be permitted where they would not have an unacceptable impact on surface or groundwater resources. | Y | D09: Water environment |
| 4/11 - River Extraction | Proposals for the extraction of aggregates from rivers will not be permitted. | Y | D09: Water environment |
| 4/13 – Traffic Impact | Where rail, waterway or other environmentally preferable modes of transport are not feasible, mining operations other than for coal, oil and gas will only be permitted where the level of vehicle movements likely to be generated can be satisfactorily accommodated by the local highway network and would not cause undue disturbance to local communities | Y | D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts |
| 4/14 – Local Environment and Amenity | Proposals for mining operations and the associated depositing of mineral waste will be permitted only where there would not be an unacceptable impact on the local environment or residential amenity. | Y | D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts |

Preferred Options

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| 4/15 – Public Rights of Way | Proposals for mining operations and the associated depositing of mineral waste which would interrupt, obstruct or conflict with use of a public right of way will only be permitted where satisfactory provision has been made in the application for protecting the existing right of way or for providing alternative arrangements both during and after working. | Y | D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts |
| 4/16 – Ancillary and Secondary Operation | The Mineral Planning Authority will expect proposals for operations ancillary or secondary to mineral extraction to be sited, designed and maintained so as to minimise the impact on the environment and local amenity. The use of plant, machinery and buildings will be restricted to processes primarily using minerals produced from the site. Permission will normally be limited to the permitted life of the site for mineral extraction. | Y | I01: Minerals and waste transport infrastructure I02: Locations for ancillary minerals infrastructure |
| 4/17 – Importation of Waste | Proposals for mining operations involving restoration through infilling with imported wastes will only be permitted where (a) waste disposal can assist in achieving the most appropriate restored landform; and (b) the transport and disposal of the waste would not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or local amenity | Y | D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts D10: Reclamation and afteruse |
| 4/18 Restoration to Agriculture | Where agriculture is the intended primary afteruse, the proposed restoration scheme should provide for the best practicable standard of restoration. Such restoration schemes should, where possible, include landscape, conservation or amenity proposals provided that these do not result in the irreversible loss of best and most versatile land. | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse D12: Protection of agricultural land and soils |
| 4/20 – Aftercare | Planning permissions which are subject to conditions requiring restoration to agriculture, forestry or amenity (including nature conservation) will additionally be subject to an aftercare requirement seeking to bring the restored land up to an approved standard for the specified after-use. Normally this requirement will run for a period of five | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse D12: Protection of agricultural land and soils |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | years following restoration. Additionally, where forestry and amenity (including nature conservation) afteruses are proposed, the Mineral Planning Authority may seek to secure longer term management agreements. | | |
| Chapter 5 – Aggregate Minerals | | | |
| 5/1 – Sand and Gravel Landbanks | The County Council will identify three landbanks for calculating sand and gravel provision, as follows:- a) Sand and gravel (Northwards); b) Sand and gravel (Southwards); and c) Building sand. In determining which of the landbanks for sand and gravel a site falls within, the County Council will take into account the geographical location of the site and the likely external markets for the material. | Y | M03: Overall distribution of sand and gravel provision M04: Landbanks for sand and gravel |
| 5/5 – Crushed Rock Preferred Areas and Areas of Search | Provision is made through Preferred Areas and Areas of Search for the extraction of 53 million tonnes of crushed rock. | Y | M05: Provision of crushed rock M09: Meeting crushed rock requirements |
| 5/6 – Borrow Pits | In considering applications for borrow pits the Mineral Planning Authority will need to be satisfied that:- i) it is not feasible to use secondary materials; ii) the site is located adjacent to the major construction or engineering project it is intended to supply; iii) the proposal would result in overriding environmental benefits compared with obtaining the material from existing sources; iv) the site can be restored within the associated project timescale to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority; and v) the use of the site will minimise or avoid use of public roads in the area. | Y | M26: Borrow Pits |
| Chapter 6 – Deep Mined Coal | | | |
| 6/2 – Deep Mining of Coal | In considering an application for the extraction of coal by underground mining, the Mineral Planning Authority will need to be satisfied that:- (i) the arrangements for the disposal of waste materials arising from the development are | Y | M20: Continuity of supply of deep coal |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | <p>acceptable;</p> <p>(ii) the level of likely subsidence is acceptable;</p> <p>(iii) the methods of transporting coal and colliery waste are acceptable; and</p> <p>(iv) the siting and design of any surface development is acceptable</p> <p>The impacts and benefits on the local economy, including jobs created or maintained and the effect on other local businesses will be a material consideration in determining such applications</p> | | |
| 6/3 – Evaluative Framework Technique | <p>Before determining any major application for colliery spoil disposal the Mineral Planning Authority will require applicants to have undertaken a comparative study of alternatives using the "Procedural Manual Evaluative Framework : Assessment of Alternative Colliery Spoil Disposal Options" published by the DoE in 1990 or its successor document.</p> | Y | M22: Disposal of colliery spoil |
| 6/4 – Colliery Spoil Disposal | <p>The Mineral Planning Authority will require proposals for the disposal of colliery spoil to:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) utilise voids or, if not available, derelict or degraded land, wherever possible; ii) provide a detailed justification for proposals which, in exceptional circumstances, seek to utilise agricultural land; iii) demonstrate that waste arising from the development and requiring surface disposal is kept to a minimum; iv) be designed to comprise a compatible landscape feature, or features, upon restoration; and v) incorporate detailed measures to mitigate the impact of operations on local amenity and the environment. | Y | M22: Disposal of colliery spoil |
| 6/5 – Colliery Waste Tips | <p>Proposals for re-working colliery waste tips will be permitted provided that they are not likely to cause unacceptable impact on local amenity and the environment or to disturb a restored and established landscape feature.</p> | Y | M11: Supply of alternatives to landwon primary aggregates |

| Oil and Gas | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| 7/2 – Exploration Boreholes | <p>In considering a proposal for an exploration borehole the Mineral Planning Authority will require to be satisfied that:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the site is located in the least environmentally sensitive area relative to the geological prospect; (ii) the site has been selected as if it were to be retained for longer term appraisal and development; (iii) provision is made for short term mitigation of the effects on amenity and the environment; and (iv) adequate allowance is made for longer term additions to and/or enhancement of such mitigation measures. <p>The grant of planning permission for exploration drilling will not commit the Mineral Planning Authority to any subsequent grant of planning permission for appraisal or development.</p> | Y | M17: Exploration and appraisal for hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/3 – Identifying of Geological Structure | <p>Before considering any planning application for appraisal work the Mineral Planning Authority will require operators to identify the probable extent of the geological structure involved and will expect planning applications for the additional boreholes to be demonstrably related to this area.</p> | Y | M16: Overall spatial policy for hydrocarbon development |
| 7/4 – Appraisal Boreholes | <p>Proposals for the drilling and testing of appraisal boreholes will only be permitted where the applicant can demonstrate that the proposal:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) is necessary to determine the quality, nature and extent of the deposit; and ii) forms part of an overall scheme for the appraisal and delineation of the field as a whole. <p>Planning permission for appraisal drilling will not commit the Mineral Planning Authority to any subsequent grant of permission for development.</p> | Y | M17: Exploration and appraisal for hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/5 – Production Wells | <p>Proposals for the conversion of previously "short term" exploration and appraisal borehole sites into production wells will be approved only when they make full provision for an improved standard of landscaping,</p> | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | protection of local amenity and site restoration. | | |
| 7/6 – Development Scheme | The Mineral Planning Authority defines a gasfield or oilfield as including a number of separate hydrocarbon reservoirs within a single area, irrespective of licence rights and obligations. Planning permission for commercial production will be granted only within the framework of an overall development scheme relating to all proven deposits within the gasfield or oilfield. Where appropriate, applications should be accompanied by an Environmental Statement and schemes should provide for the full development of the proven field. | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/7 – Development of new reserves | Unless such development would be technically impracticable or environmentally unacceptable, planning permission for the development of oil or gas reserves as yet undiscovered will only be granted where the development utilises existing available surface infrastructure or pipelines. | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/8 – Gathering Stations | Unless such development would be technically impracticable or environmentally unacceptable, planning permission for the development of gathering stations forming part of an oil or gas development scheme will only be granted where the development is located on land allocated for industrial use and/or where it is associated with rail or waterway transport. | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/10 – Restoration | Planning permission for the exploration, appraisal or development of oil or gas resources will only be permitted when provision is made for the full restoration of the site and its related means of access to a beneficial after use. In particular, the Mineral Planning Authority will impose:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) a 1 year time limit for the restoration of exploration sites or the submission of proposals for continued appraisal work; ii) a 2 year time limit for the restoration of appraisal sites or the submission of proposals for development as a production site; and iii) a 2 year time limit for the restoration of a | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | production site, to run from the cessation of significant oil or gas production from the site. | | |
| 7/11 – Retention of Features | Proposals to retain sections of access road, hardstandings, fencing and screening as an exception to the full restoration of exploration, appraisal or production sites will be approved only where a clear agricultural or other benefit can be demonstrated. | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |
| 7/12 - Pipelines | Planning permission for coastal landfall sites for pipelines will be permitted only where it can be demonstrated that they will not have a detrimental impact on Heritage Coast, coastal features, tourism, wildlife or the marine environment. | Y | M18: Production and processing of hydrocarbon resources |

Waste – North Yorkshire Waste Local Plan 2006

| Saved policy | Text of saved policy | Replaced by PO policy | Preferred Options policy |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| Chapter 4 – Protecting the Environment | | | |
| 4/1 – Waste Management Proposals | Proposals for waste management facilities will be permitted provided that:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the siting and scale of the development is appropriate to the location of the proposal; b) the proposed method and scheme of working would minimise the impact of the proposal; c) there would not be an unacceptable environmental impact; d) there would not be an unacceptable cumulative impact on the local area; e) the landscaping and screening has been designed to effectively mitigate the impact of the proposal in a way that is sympathetic to local landscape character; f) where appropriate, adequate provision is made for the restoration, aftercare and management of the site to an agreed afteruse; g) the proposed transport links are adequate to serve the development; and | Y | W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts D10: Reclamation and afteruse D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | <p>h) other environmental and amenity safeguards would effectively mitigate the impact of the proposal;</p> <p>i) it can be demonstrated that the proposal represents the Best Practicable Environmental Option for dealing with the waste;</p> <p>j) the location is geographically well located to the source of the waste thereby according with the proximity principle</p> | | |
| 4/3 – Landscape Protection | Proposals for waste management facilities will only be permitted where there would not be an unacceptable effect on the character and uniqueness of the landscape. Wherever possible, proposals should result in an enhancement of the local landscape character. | Y | D06: Landscape |
| 4/5 – Heritage Coasts | Within Heritage Coast areas proposals for waste management facilities will only be permitted where there would not be an unacceptable effect on the natural environment and landscape and where it is essential for operational reasons and cannot be located outside the Heritage Coast. | Y | D06: Landscape |
| 4/7 – Protection of Agricultural Land | Proposals for waste management facilities on the best and most versatile agricultural land will only be permitted where: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) there is an overriding need for the development; ii) there is a lack of development opportunities on non agricultural land; iii) there is insufficient land available in grades below 3a iv) Other sustainability considerations on land below grade 3a outweigh issues of agricultural land quality | Y | D12: Protection of agricultural land and soils |
| 4/9 – National Sites | Proposals for waste management facilities in or likely to affect Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI's) will be subject to special scrutiny. Where | Y | D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | such proposals (either individually or in combination) may have an adverse effect either directly or indirectly on the SSSI they will not be permitted unless there are no alternatives and the reasons of the development clearly outweigh the value of the site itself and the intrinsic nature conservation value of the national network of such sites. | | |
| 4/10 – Locally Important Sites | Proposals for waste management facilities will only be permitted where there would not be an unacceptable effect on the intrinsic interest and, where appropriate educational value of the following:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Local Nature Reserves; (b) Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation; (c) UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species or key habitats; (d) other wildlife habitats; (e) the habitat of any animal or plant species protected by law (f) Regionally Important Geological/Geomorphological Sites (RIGS) | Y | D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity |
| 4/14 – Historic Environment | Proposals for waste management facilities will only be permitted where there would not be an unacceptable effect on listed buildings, registered parks, gardens and historic battlefields, World Heritage Sites or conservation areas, including their settings. | Y | D08: Historic environment |
| 4/15 – Archaeological Evaluation | Where proposals for waste management facilities affect sites of known or potential archaeological importance the applicant will be required to carry out an archaeological field evaluation prior to the determination of the planning application. | Y | D08: Historic environment |
| 4/16 – Archaeological Sites | Proposals for waste management facilities which would have an unacceptable effect on nationally important archaeological remains, whether scheduled or not, and their settings, will not be | Y | D08: Historic environment |

Preferred Options

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | permitted. Where planning permission is granted for waste management facilities which would affect sites of regional, County or local importance, conditions will be imposed to ensure the remains are preserved in-situ or by record, as appropriate to their archaeological interest. | | |
| 4/18 – Traffic Impact | Where rail, waterway or other environmentally preferable modes of transport are not feasible, waste management facilities will only be permitted where the level of vehicle movements likely to be generated can be satisfactorily accommodated by the local highway and trunk road network and would not have an unacceptable impact on local communities. | Y | I01: Minerals and waste transport infrastructure D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts |
| 4/19 – Quality of Life | Proposals for waste management facilities will be permitted only where there would not be an unacceptable impact on the local environment and residential amenity. | Y | D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts |
| 4/20 – Open Space, Recreation and Public rights of Way | The development of waste management facilities will not be permitted where there would be an unacceptable impact on recreational amenity of the area, on open spaces with recreational value or on the enjoyment of the Public Rights or Way network. Proposals for waste management facilities which would interrupt, obstruct or conflict with use of a public right of way will only be permitted where satisfactory provision has been made, in the application, for protecting the existing right of way or for providing acceptable alternative arrangements both during and after working. | Y | D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts |
| 4/21 – Progressive Restoration | Planning applications for waste disposal should demonstrate that wherever possible and practicable, progressive restoration will be undertaken to a high standard to achieve a prescribed after-use or combination of after-uses. | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse |
| 4/22 – Site Restoration | Proposals for waste disposal should demonstrate that the restoration proposals will restore and enhance, where appropriate, the character of the | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| | local environment. | | |
| 4/23 – Aftercare | Planning permissions which are subject to conditions requiring restoration to agriculture, forestry or amenity uses will additionally be subject to an aftercare requirement seeking to bring the restored land up to an approved standard for the specified after-use. | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse |
| Chapter 5 – Reduction, Re-use and Recovery | | | |
| 5/1 – Waste Minimisation | Proposals for major development should include a statement identifying the waste implications of the development and measures taken to minimise and manage the waste generated. Permission will not be granted where this has not been adequately addressed. | Y | D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development |
| 5/2 – Waste Recovery | Proposals for facilities relating to the recovery of waste will be permitted subject to adequate environmental and amenity safeguards at the following locations as shown on Inset Maps No. 1 & 2 a) Barnsdale Bar Landfill & Quarry b) Jackdaw Crag Proposals outside these areas will be considered in light of other policies of Chapter 5 | Y | W01: Moving waste up the waste hierarchy W03: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C & I waste) W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste) W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity W11: Waste site identification principles |
| 5/3 – Recycling, Sorting | Proposals for facilities for recycling, sorting and | Y | W01: Moving waste up the waste |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| and Transfer of Industrial, Commercial and Household Waste | <p>transfer of industrial, commercial and household wastes will be permitted provided that:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area of a character appropriate to the development; or b) the proposed site is suitably located within a redundant site or building c) the proposed site is appropriately located within or adjacent to active or worked out quarries or landfill sites and; d) the operations are carried out in suitable buildings; and e) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and f) that in appropriate cases it does not prejudice the restoration and afteruse of the quarry or landfill site; and g) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment. | | <p>hierarchy</p> <p>W03: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste</p> <p>W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C & I waste)</p> <p>W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste)</p> <p>W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> |
| 5/4 – Household Recycling – Bring Schemes | Proposals for major retail and community developments will be required to provide facilities for the public to recycle waste within the related car parking area. | Y | D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development |
| 5/5 – Household Waste and Recycling Sites | <p>Proposals for Household Waste and Recycling Centres will be permitted at the following locations, as shown on Inset Maps No. 3 & 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Brickyard Road, Bar Lane, Boroughbridge b) Oak Beck Park, Skipton Road, Harrogate <p>Proposals for new HWRC at other locations will be supported if there will not be an unacceptable</p> | Y | <p>W03: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste</p> <p>W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity</p> |

Preferred Options

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | impact on the environment or local amenity. | | W11: Waste site identification principles |
| 5/6 – Scrapyards and Metal Recycling Facilities | Proposals for facilities for scrapyards and metal recycling facilities will only be permitted provided:- a) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area of a character appropriate to the development; and b) the site is adequately screened with the height of any stockpiles maintained to a maximum height consistent with the screening provided; and c) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and d) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment. | Y | W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C&I waste) W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity W11: Waste site identification principles D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts |
| 5/7 – Facilities for the Recycling of Construction and Demolition Wastes | Proposals for recycling facilities for construction and demolition wastes will be permitted provided that:- a) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area of a character appropriate to the development; or b) the proposed site is suitably located within a redundant site or building; or c) the proposed site is appropriately located within, or adjacent to active or worked out quarries or landfill sites; and d) that where relevant it does not prejudice the restoration and afteruse of the quarry or landfill site; and e) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and f) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment | Y | W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste) W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity W11: Waste site identification principles D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts |
| 5/8 – Temporary Facilities for the recycling of | Proposals for the location of temporary facilities on or close to construction and demolition sites for the recovery, separation and where appropriate | Y | M11: Supply of alternatives to landwon primary aggregate |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Construction and Demolition Waste | <p>processing of waste materials generated by the on-site construction or demolition works will be permitted provided that:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the facilities are removed on completion of the construction and demolition project; and b) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and c) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment. | | <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> |
| 5/9 – Green Waste Composting | <p>Proposals for green waste composting will be permitted provided that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposed site is suitably located within or adjacent to existing waste management facilities; or b) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area or working or worked out quarry of a character appropriate to the development; or c) where the proposal is in open countryside, it is in scale and keeping with the local landscape and reuses existing buildings or is on land within or adjacent to farm building complexes; and d) where relevant it does not prejudice the restoration and afteruse of the landfill site or working or worked out quarry; and e) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and f) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment. | Y | <p>W03: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste</p> <p>W06: Managing agricultural waste</p> <p>W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> |
| 5/10 – Incineration of Waste | <p>Proposals for the incineration of household, commercial and non-hazardous industrial waste will be permitted only after opportunities for recycling and composting have been explored and provided the following criteria are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area of | Y | <p>W01: Moving waste up the waste hierarchy</p> <p>W03: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste</p> |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | <p>a character appropriate to the development; or</p> <p>b) the proposed site is suitably located on land formerly occupied by waste management facilities of a character appropriate to the development; or</p> <p>c) the proposed site is suitably located on areas of contaminated, despoiled or previously derelict land; and</p> <p>d) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and</p> <p>e) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment</p> | | <p>W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C&I waste)</p> <p>W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> |
| Chapter 6 – Waste Disposal | | | |
| 6/1 – Landfill proposals | <p>Proposals for additional landfill capacity for the disposal of waste will be permitted provided that:-</p> <p>a) it can be demonstrated that there is an overriding need for the development and there are no available alternative methods for treating the waste; or</p> <p>b) it is required for the restoration of a former mineral void which cannot be satisfactorily reclaimed in any other way; and</p> <p>c) where appropriate, provision is made for the selective recycling of waste; and</p> <p>d) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and</p> <p>e) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment.</p> | Y | <p>W01: Moving waste up the waste hierarchy</p> <p>W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C&I waste)</p> <p>W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste)</p> <p>W09: Managing power station ash</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | | D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts |
| 6/2 – Land Improvement Schemes | Proposals involving the deposit of inert waste for land improvement schemes will be permitted provided that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposal will improve derelict or degraded land, enhance the area and result in an overall environmental and amenity improvement; and b) no other satisfactory means exist to secure the necessary improvement; and c) the proposal will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on local landscape character, local wildlife habitats and the open countryside and d) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity; and e) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated. | Y | <p>W01: Moving waste up the waste hierarchy</p> <p>W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste)</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> <p>D10: Reclamation and afteruse</p> |
| 6/4 – Leachate and Landfill Gas Management | Proposals for the landfilling of waste will be required, where appropriate to demonstrate that adequate measures can be made for treatment of leachate and landfill gas that will not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or local amenity. Where practical, landfill gas should be recovered for use as an energy source. | Y | <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development</p> |
| Chapter 7 – Other Issues | | | |
| 7/1 – Incineration Treatment and Transfer of Special or Clinical Waste | Proposals for the incineration, treatment or transfer of special or clinical waste will be permitted provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the proposed site is suitably located within an existing, former or proposed industrial area of a character appropriate to the development; or b) the proposed site is suitably located on land formerly occupied by waste management | Y | <p>W04: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and industrial waste (including hazardous C&I waste)</p> <p>W05: Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and</p> |

Preferred Options

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | <p>facilities; or</p> <p>c) the proposed site is suitably located on areas of contaminated, despoiled or previously derelict land; and</p> <p>d) the proposed methods of handling, storage, treatment, processing, and associated built development are appropriate to the nature and hazards of the waste(s) concerned; and</p> <p>e) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and</p> <p>f) the proposal will not have an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the environment.</p> | | <p>Excavation waste (including hazardous CD&E waste)</p> <p>W07: Managing low level (non-nuclear) radioactive waste</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> |
| 7/2 – Waste Water Treatment Works | <p>Proposals for new works, or extensions to works to treat waste water and sewage sludge will be permitted provided that:</p> <p>a) the proposal is required to improve the treatment of sewage sludge and waste water or discharge standards; or</p> <p>b) the proposal is required to provide increased capacity; and</p> <p>c) the highway network and site access can satisfactorily accommodate the traffic generated; and</p> <p>d) the proposal will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on local amenity or the environment.</p> | Y | <p>W08: Managing waste water (sewage sludge)</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> |
| 7/3 – Re-working of Deposited Waste | <p>Proposals to re-work deposited waste will be permitted only where:</p> <p>a) the proposals represent the Best Practicable Environmental Option; and</p> <p>b) re-working would achieve material planning benefits that would outweigh any environmental or other planning harm which might result</p> | Y | M11: Supply of alternatives to land won primary aggregates |

City of York policies (Draft Local Plan incorporating 4th set of changes) April 2005 (NB these policies have not been formally adopted)

| Current policy | Text of saved policy | Replaced by PO policy | Preferred Options policy |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| Chapter 14: Minerals and Waste | | | |
| MW1: Areas of Search | To provide flexibility in meeting demand for aggregate minerals the Area of Search outlined on the Proposals Map will be safeguarded to meet demand for sand and gravel extraction beyond the period of the Local Plan. | Y | S01: Safeguarding mineral resources S02: Developments Proposed within Minerals Safeguarding areas |
| MW2: Sterilisation of Unworked Minerals | Where appropriate, non-mineral development will be restricted in order to prevent the sterilisation of unworked mineral resources or where it would not be compatible with mineral working and ancillary development. | Y | S01: Safeguarding mineral resources S02: Developments Proposed within Minerals Safeguarding areas |
| MW3: Minerals Extraction | Proposals for new, or extensions to existing, mineral workings will be permitted provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) the mineral deposit on the application site has been fully investigated and is of sufficient quantity and quality to justify the development; and c) the proposal will not unacceptably affect statutory or non-statutory nature conservation sites, or sites of known archaeological significance; and d) the application is accompanied by an environmental statement; where required; and e) mitigation measures will be taken to ensure the minimisation of nuisance and disturbance to local residents in terms of dust, noise or vibration from either the minerals operation or any associated road traffic; and f) all options for the transportation of extracted minerals have been assessed in detail; and g) water supply, drainage, fishery and river management interests will be protected; | Y | D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated impacts D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity D08: Historic Environment D09: Water environment D10: Reclamation and afteruse D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | <p>and</p> <p>h) the working, landscaping, restoration and aftercare of the site will be carried out in accordance with a scheme approved in advance. The scheme should incorporate progressive restoration where practicable; and</p> <p>i) provision will be made to temporarily divert any public footpaths, cycleways or bridleways affected by the proposal, subject to the length and route of the diversion being acceptable; and</p> <p>j) mitigation measures have been proposed to minimise any potential effects from subsidence on surface properties, drainage and services as a result of the development; and</p> <p>k) details will be required of the siting and design of buildings, machinery and plant together with proposals for their removal when no longer required in connection with the development.</p> | | |
| MW4: After Use of Minerals Workings | <p>Planning permission for mineral working and ancillary development will not be permitted unless satisfactory provision is made for a beneficial after-use of the site. There will be a presumption in favour of returning the land to agricultural uses or public open space unless it can be shown that there is another use to which the land can be restored, which does not conflict with other planning policies for that area.</p> | Y | D10: Reclamation and afteruse |
| MW5: Waste Management Facilities | <p>Development of waste management facilities will be considered on the individual merits and the characteristics of particular sites, taking into account:</p> <p>a) the need for the facility, its proposed location, its impact on adjoining land uses and the duration of the proposal;</p> <p>b) the proximity principle whereby waste is disposed as close as possible to where it</p> | Y | <p>W10: Overall locational principles for provision of new waste capacity</p> <p>W11: Waste site identification principles</p> <p>I01: Minerals and waste transport infrastructure</p> |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | <p>is produced;</p> <p>c) the mode of transport to be utilised for carrying waste to the site;</p> <p>d) proposed measures for eliminating leakage and gas emissions;</p> <p>e) measures to be taken to protect natural water resources;</p> <p>f) any adverse effects on important landscape, ecological, historic or archaeological features;</p> <p>g) proposed measures to minimise the environmental impact of visual intrusion, noise, dust, odour and wind-blown material;</p> <p>h) for landfill arrangements for the site's phased restoration to an acceptable use.</p> | | <p>D02: Local amenity and cumulative impacts</p> <p>D03: Transport of minerals and waste and associated traffic impacts</p> <p>D06: Landscape</p> <p>D07: Biodiversity and geodiversity</p> <p>D08: Historic environment</p> <p>D09: Water environment</p> <p>D10: Reclamation and afteruse</p> <p>D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development.</p> |
| MW6: Waste Disposal on Agricultural Land | <p>Proposals for the disposal of waste on agricultural land will only be permitted where:</p> <p>a) it does not involve the best and most versatile agricultural land (defined as grades 1, 2 or 3a); and</p> <p>b) it does not involve the disposal of contaminated material; and</p> <p>c) the scheme would not divert waste infill from former minerals workings or other derelict land, thereby prejudicing their early restoration; and</p> <p>d) the scheme has been assessed against the criteria in policy MW5.</p> | Y | <p>D10: Reclamation and afteruse</p> <p>D12: Protection of agricultural land and soils</p> |
| MW7: Temporary Storage for Recyclable Material | <p>Proposals for new development, particularly employment, housing, shopping, leisure and community facilities will be expected to provide an appropriate level of space for the temporary storage of recyclable material.</p> | Y | <p>D11: Sustainable design, construction and operation of development.</p> |

North York Moors National Park Authority – Core Strategy and Development Policies November 2008

| Current policy | Text of saved policy | Replaced by PO policy | Preferred Options policy |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| Protecting, enhancing and managing the natural environment | | | |
| Core Policy E – Minerals | <p>Minerals extraction in the National Park will enable the provision of materials necessary for preserving traditional buildings and for maintaining and enhancing the character of settlements and the countryside of the National Park. Minerals extraction or the re-working of former quarries will be permitted where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 It is of a scale appropriate for its location in the National Park and is for meeting a local need for building stone. 2 There are no suitable sources of previously used materials to meet the identified need. 3 Any waste materials from extraction will be re-used or recycled wherever possible. 4 A scheme for restoration and after-use of the site based upon protecting and enhancing the special qualities of the National Park forms an integral part of the proposal. <p>Development which would compromise the future extraction of important building stone at existing or former quarries will not be permitted.</p> <p>All other minerals developments will be considered against the major development tests. The continued extraction of potash at Boulby will be permitted provided that any detrimental effect on the environment, landscape or residential or visitor amenity is not unacceptable in the context of any overriding need for the development.</p> | Y | <p>M15: Continuity of supply of building stone</p> <p>M23: Potash and polyhalite supply</p> <p>S01: Safeguarding of mineral resources</p> <p>S02: Developments proposed within Mineral Safeguarding Areas</p> <p>D04: North York Moors National Park and the AONBs</p> <p>D10: Reclamation and afteruse</p> |
| Core Policy F – Sustainable waste management | <p>The development of small scale waste facilities will be facilitated where this will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Contribute towards meeting the targets of the | Y | <p>W02: Strategic role of the Plan area in the management of waste</p> <p>W10: Overall locational principles for</p> |

Preferred Options

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>waste management authorities in respect of increasing reuse, recycling, composting and energy recovery from waste.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2 Manage waste predominantly generated from communities within the National Park.3 Enable waste to be managed as close to its source as possible. | | provision of new waste management capacity |
|--|--|--|--|

DRAFT